

\210
T.P.(C) No. 860 OF 2004
ITEM No.9

Court No. 2

SECTION XVIA
A/N MATTER

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Transfer Petition.(Civil) No.860/2004

FARAH Petitioner (s)

VERSUS

SHAKEEL AHMAD Respondent (s)

(With Appln(s). for stay)
(With Office Report)

Date : 21/02/2005 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE N. SANTOSH HEGDE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S.B. SINHA

For Petitioner (s)
Ms. Minakshi Vij.,Adv.

For Respondent (s)Mr. B.B. Sharma, Adv.
Mr. Kishan Datta,Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

Heard learned counsel for the parties.
Petition admitted.
The transfer petition is allowed in terms of the
signed order.

(PAWAN KUMAR) (PREM PRAKASH)
COURT MASTER COURT MASTER
(signed order is placed on the file)

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL ORIGINAL JURISDICTION

TRANSFER PETITION (C) NO.860 OF 2004

FARAH.....APEPELLANT

Versus

SHAKEEL AHMEDRESPONDENT

O R D E R

Heard learned counsel for the parties.
Petition admitted..

On the facts and circumstances of this case, we think it appropriate that the Suit No.34/2000 titled Shakeel Ahmed vs. Farah pending before the Civil Judge, Delhi be transferred to the Family Court, Bareilly.

We are told that there is a criminal case pending in the Court of the Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate, Court No.4, Bareilly in Misc. Case No. NIL of 2000 under Section 3 of Muslim Women Protections from Divorce Act. We direct the Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate to list t

his matter on the same date when the Family Court lists the said Suit No.34/2000 which is transferred today to Bareilly so that both the cases could go simultaneously at Bareilly. With the above direction this transfer petition is allowed.

.....J.
(N. SANTOSH HEGDE)

.....J.
(S.B. SINHA)

NEW DELHI,
FEBRUARY 21, 2005.