

SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

TRANSFER PETITION (CIVIL) NO.965 OF 2006

MANJU TANWAR @ VEEN TANWAR

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

CHANDER PRAKASH

Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for stay and office report)

Date: 08/10/2007 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE B.N. AGRAWAL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P.P. NAOLEKAR

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Atul Bandhu,Adv.

Mr. R.R. Mishra,Adv.
Mr. Virendra Kumar Singh,Adv.
Mr. Rakesh K. Sharma,Adv.

For Respondent(s)

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
ORDER

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner.

The respondent has not entered appearance in spite
of service of notice.

The transfer petition is allowed.

[Alka Dudeja]
Court Master[Om Prakash]
Court Master

[Signed order is placed on the file]

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

CIVIL ORIGINAL JURISDICTION

TRANSFER PETITION (C) NO.965 OF 2006

Manju Talwar @ Veena Tanwar

...Petitioner(s)

Versus

Chander Prakash

...Respondent(s)

O R D E R

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner.

The respondent has not entered appearance in spite of service of notice.

In the facts and circumstances of the case, Application No.95 of 2005, titled as Manju Tanwar @ Veena Tanwar & Anr. vs. Chander Prakash, pending in the Family Court, Jaipur, within the State of Rajasthan, is transferred to the District Judge, Tis Hazari Courts, Delhi, who may either hear the case himself or make over the same for trial any other court of competent jurisdiction.

The transfer petition is, accordingly, allowed.

.....J.
[B.N. AGRAWAL]

.....J.
[P.P. NAOLEKAR]

New Delhi,
October 08, 2007.