

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil) No(s).24220/2010

(From the judgement and order dated 08/06/2010 in CMWP No. 33961/2010 of The HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD)

CHAIRMAN, MATHURA VRIDAVAN DEVT. AUTH.

Petitioner(s)

V E R S U S

MURARI LAL AGARWAL & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for exemption from filing O.T. and prayer for interim relief and office report)

Date: 10/09/2010 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE J.M. PANCHAL
HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE GYAN SUDHA MISRA

For Petitioner(s) Mr. R. F. Nariman, Sr. Adv.
 Mr. L. Nageshwar Rao, Sr. Adv.
 Mr. M.P. Shorawala, Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. H. N. Salve, Sr. Adv.
 Mr. D. K. Garg, Adv.
 Mr. M.C. Dhingra, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

Leave granted.

The appeal stands disposed of in terms of the
signed order.

(Neetu Sachdeva)
Sr. P. A.

(Sneh Bala Mehra)
Court Master

(signed order is placed on the file)

I N T H E S U R P E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
C I V I L A P P E L L A T E J U R I S D I C T I O N

C I V I L A P P E A L N O . 7 9 0 8 O F 2 0 1 0
(@ S L P (C) N o . 2 4 2 2 0 / 2 0 1 0)

CHAIRMAN, MATHURA VRIDAVAN DEVT. AUTH.

Appellant(s)

V E R S U S

MURARI LAL AGARWAL & ORS.

Respondent(s)

O R D E R

Leave granted.

By filing this appeal, the appellant has challenged

the legality of order dated 8.6.2010 rendered by Division Bench of High Court of Judicature at Allahabad in Writ Petition No.33961 of 2010 by which the Court has directed the parties to maintain status quo with regard to land in dispute.

This Court has heard the learned counsel for the parties. The learned counsel for the parties have suggested that as the matter is pending before the High Court, the appellant should be directed to file reply in the petition pending before the High Court within two weeks from today after which the original petitioner should be permitted to file rejoinder, if any, within one week from the date of filing of the reply affidavit and the High Court should be directed to dispose of the Writ Petition within the time to be specified by this Court.

- 2 -

Having regard to the facts of the case, this Court is of the opinion that the suggestion made at the Bar by the learned counsel for the parties is reasonable and deserves to be accepted. For the foregoing reasons, the appellant is directed to file reply in the petition pending before the High Court within two weeks from today. It will be open to the respondent to file rejoinder within a week thereafter. The Division Bench of the High Court which may be seized of the matter is requested to dispose of Writ Petition No.33961 of 2010 on merits and in accordance with law as early as possible and without any avoidable delay preferably within three months from the date of receipt of the writ from this Court. It would also be open to any of the parties to bring certified/authenticated copy of this order to the notice of the Division Bench of the High Court so that the matter is disposed of expeditiously.

Subject to above-mentioned directions, the appeal

stands disposed of.

.....J.
(J.M. PANCHAL)

NEW DELHI
SEPTEMBER 10, 2010

.....J.
(GYAN SUDHA MISRA)