

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Contempt Petition (C) No.186 of 2006 in  
Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil) No(s).12801/2006  
(From the judgment and order dated 01/05/2006 in CRLWP No.20046/2005  
of The HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH)

VED PRAKASH MITTAL Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

UNION OF INDIA & ORS Respondent(s)

With I.A.Nos.3-4 in SLP (C) No.12801 of 2006  
(For vacating stay, exemption from filing O.T. and office report)

Date: 28/09/2006 These Petitions were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE B.N. AGRAWAL

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P.P. NAOLEKAR

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE LOKESHWAR SINGH PANTA

For Petitioner(s) Mr. S. Ganesh,Sr.Adv.  
Ms. Sumita Hazarika,Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. Vikas Singh,ASG  
Mr. Raj Singh Rana,Adv.  
Mr. V.K. Verma,Adv.  
Mr. U.U. Lalit,Sr.Adv.  
Mr. Arvind Biswal,Adv.  
Mr. Nikhil Nayyar,Adv.  
Mr. Ankit Singhal,Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

Contempt Petition No.186 of 2006:

Heard learned counsel for the parties.

In our view, in the facts and circumstances of the case, no case

for contempt is made out.

The contempt petition is, accordingly, dismissed.

....2/

- 2 -

I.A. Nos3-4 in S.L.P.(C) No.12801/2006:

Heard learned counsel for the parties

We do not find any ground to interfere with the impugned order.

The special leave petition is, accordingly, dismissed. We may, however, observe that this order shall not in any manner affect case of any of the parties in appeal pending before the High Court against the order of Arbitrator.

Needless to say that the interim order passed by this Court on 14th August, 2006, stands vacated. I.A. Nos. 3-4 are, accordingly, disposed of.

[ Charanjeet Kaur ]

Court Master

[ Om Prakash ]

Court Master

( Signed order is placed on the file.)

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

CIVIL ORIGINAL JURISDICTION

CONTEMPT PETITION [CIVIL] NO.186 OF 2006

IN

SPECIAL LEAVE PETITION (C) NO.12801 OF 2006

Ved Prakash Mittal

...Petitioner(s)

Versus

Joint Chief Controller of Explosives and Anr.

...Respondent(s)

O R D E R

Heard learned counsel for the parties.

In our view, in the facts and circumstances of the case,

no case for contempt is made out.

The contempt petition is, accordingly, dismissed.

.....J.

[B.N. AGRAWAL]

.....J.

[P.P. NAOLEKAR]

.....J.

[LOKESHWAR SINGH PANTA]

New Delhi,

September 28, 2006.