

ITEM NO.41

COURT NO.6

SECTION XVII

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil) No(s).26373/2011

(From the judgement and order dated 06/07/2011 in RP No.1640/2011
of The NATIONAL CONSUMERS DISPUTES REDRESSAL COMMISSION, NEW DELHI)

L.I.C.OF INDIA & ANR

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

MANJULA JINDAL

Respondent(s)

(With prayer for interim relief and office report)

Date: 02/12/2011 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE G.S. SINGHVI

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHANSU JYOTI MUKHOPADHAYA

For Petitioner(s)

Mr. Kailash Vasudev, Sr. Adv.

Mr. P.Ramesh, Adv.

Mr. M.P.Parthiban, Adv.

Mr. Rakesh K. Sharma, Adv.(Not present)

For Respondent(s)

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

This petition is directed against the order of the National Consumer Dispute Redressal Commission whereby the revision preferred by the petitioners against the order of the State Consumer Disputes Redressal Commission was dismissed and the direction given by the District Forum to the petitioner to pay Rs.5 lacs to the respondent in lieu of the policy taken by her daughter Ekta Jindal and compensation of Rs.2 lacs was upheld.

We have heard learned counsel for the petitioners

2

and perused the record.

In our view, the District Forum had assigned cogent reasons for entertaining the claim made by the respondent for payment of the the amount in lieu of the LIC policy taken by her daughter and the State Commission and the National Commission rightly refused to interfere with the same.

The special leave petition is accordingly dismissed.

However, the direction given by the National Commission for recovery of the amount of cost from the salaries of the officers of the petitioners is deleted because the same was not at all warranted.

(Parveen Kr. Chawla)
Court Master

(Phoolan Wati Arora)
Court Master