

SECTION-IV
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NOS. 7505-7511 AND 7504 OF 2012

Comptroller & Auditor Gen. of India & Ors. ...Appellants

VERSUS

Pradeep Deshmukh & Ors. Etc. ...Respondents

OFFICE REPORT ON PRE-FINAL HEARING

Office Report is prepared as per Circular No.F.41/Judl./2008 dated 17th December, 2008:-

(a) CIVIL APPEAL NOS. 7505-7511 OF 2011: There are 5 Respondents in C.A. No. 7505/2011, 13 Respondents in C.A. No. 7506/2012, 4 Respondents in C.A. No. 7507/2012, 5 Respondents in C.A. No. 7508/2012, 9 Respondents in C.A. No. 7509/2012, 7 Respondents in C.A. Nos. 7510 and 7511 of 2012. Respondent Nos. 1 to 3 in C.A. No. 7505/2012, Respondent Nos. 1 & 3 to 11 in C.A. No. 7506/2012, Respondent Nos. 1 & 2 in C.A. No. 7507 and 7508 of 2012, Respondent Nos. 2, 3, 6 & 7 in C.A. No. 7509/2012, Respondent Nos. 1 to 5 in C.A. No. 7510/2012 and Respondent Nos. 1, 3 to 5 in C.A. No. 7511/2012 are represented by Mr. Niraj Sharma, Advocate. He has been served directly with the Notice under Rule-8(II), Order-XIX, S.C.R. 2013. Respondent Nos. 4 & 5 in C.A. Nos. 7505/2012, Respondent Nos. 2, 12 & 13 in C.A. No. 7506 of 2012, Respondent Nos. 3 and 4 in C.A. No. 7507/2012, Respondent Nos. 3 to 5 in C.A. No. 7508 of 2012, Respondent Nos. 1, 4, 5, 8 and 9 in C.A. No. 7509/2012, Respondent No. 6 and 7 in C.A. No. 7510 of 2012 and Respondent Nos. 2, 6 and 7 in C.A. No. 7511 of 2012 have been served with Notice at SLP stage but have not chosen to enter appearance.

CIVIL APPEAL NO. 7504 OF 2011:

There are 2 Respondents. Respondent No. 1 is represented by Mr. Niraj Sharma, Advocate. He has been directly served with the Notice under Rule 11, Order XV, S.C.R 1966. Respondent No. 2 has been served with Notice at SLP stage but has not chosen to enter appearance.

(b) Original Records in the Appeals have been returned to the concerned High Court vide Office Order dated 03.12.2014.

- (c) Not applicable.
- (d) Not applicable.
- (e) No Application for Substitution is pending.
- (f) Counsel for the Appellants as well as Counsel for Respondents have not filed Statement of Case. In terms of Rule 32, Sub Rule-2 of S.C.R. 2013, it is presumed that the Appellant has adopted the list of dates/synopsis containing chronology of events as filed at the time of presentation of petition for seeking Special Leave to Appeal (SLP)/Appeal, as Statement of Case, and does not desire to file any further Statement of Case. If the Respondent has not filed Statement of Case within the stipulated time as provided in Sub Rule-1 of S.C.R. 2013, it is presumed that the Respondent does not desire to lodge Statement of Case in the appeal.

(g) Not applicable.

Dated this the 23rd day of May, 2016.

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

Copy to:

1. Mr. Shiv Sagar Tiwari (Advocate) A-54, Sector 27, Noida (UP), Ghaziabad
2. Mr. R. C. Gubrele (Advocate) 83, Lawyer's Chamber, SCI, New Delhi.

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR