

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

BEFORE THE REGISTRAR S.G. SHAH

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil) No(s).31870/2009

STATE OF BIHAR

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

MOTI DEVI & ANR.

Respondent(s)

(With prayer for interim relief and office report)

WITH SLP(C) NO. 32167 of 2009

(With office report)

SLP(C) NO. 32169 of 2009

(With office report)

SLP(C) NO. 32185 of 2009

(With office report)

SLP(C) NO. 32186 of 2009

(With office report)

SLP(C) NO. 32188 of 2009

(With office report)

SLP(C) NO. 32189 of 2009

(With office report)

SLP(C) NO. 32190 of 2009

(With office report)

Date: 05/08/2010 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

For Petitioner(s)

Mr Anil Kumar Shrivastava, Adv.
Dr Prabhat Kumar, Adv.
Mr. Mithilesh Kumar Singh, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

It is very unfortunate position on record that before

the High Court at Patna applicant is State of Bihar through the

Item No.51

Collector, Aurangabad whereas in the present group of matters,

State of Bihar has preferred this SLP through its Secretary

taking again State of Bihar as respondent No.2 disclosing as

'State of Bihar through the Collector, Aurangabad', there is an

interim stay since 4.1.2010, respondent No.2 is yet not served by the learned Advocate for the petitioner.

In view of the above facts and circumstances, no notice is required to be served on respondent No.2.

Petitioner has either to file appearance for respondent No.2 or to delete them or to make appropriate correction in the cause title.

Registry has to explain to the undersigned that why the SLP is registered as such when the name of the petitioner is not disclosed as per impugned order.

List before the Hon'ble Court as per rules.

(S.G. SHAH)
Registrar

hj