

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
 CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
 REVIEW PETITION (C) NOS. 2407-2408 OF 2012
 IN
 SLP(C)Nos.17646-17647 OF 2011

S. SRINIVASULU Petitioner(s)
 VERSUS
 KOTAK MAHINDRA BANK LTD. Respondent(s)

O R D E R

There is a delay of 352 days in filing the present Review Petitions, for which no satisfactory explanation has been offered. The Review Petitions are liable to be dismissed on the ground of delay alone. Even otherwise, we have carefully gone through the Review Petitions and the connected papers. We do not find any merit in the same. The Review Petitions are dismissed on the ground of delay, as well as on merit.

.....J
 (J.CHELAMESWAR)

.....J
 (RANJAN GOGOI)

New Delhi;
 December 13, 2012.

CHAMBER MATTER SECTION XIIA

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
 RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

REVIEW PETITION(C)NOS.2407-2408 OF 2012 IN SLP(C)Nos.17646-17647 OF 2011

S. SRINIVASULU Petitioner(s)
 VERSUS
 KOTAK MAHINDRA BANK LTD. Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for c/delay in filing review petition and office report))

Date: 13/12/2012 These Petitions were circulated today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE J.CHELAMESWAR
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RANJAN GOGOI

By Circulation

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

There is a delay of 352 days in filing the present Review Petitions, for which no satisfactory explanation has been offered. The Review Petitions are liable to be dismissed on the ground of delay alone. Even otherwise, we have carefully gone through the Review Petitions and the connected papers. We do not find any merit in the same. The Review Petitions are dismissed on the ground of delay, as well as on merit.

|(Sheetal Dhingra)
|Court Master

|(Juginder Kaur)
|Assistant Registrar

[Signed order is placed on the file]