

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

I.A. No. 2/2014 in Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (C)
No(s). 26209/2012

(Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 20/04/2012
in WPC No. 8408/2011 passed by the High Court Of Delhi At N.
Delhi)

C. RAJARAM & ANR. Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

GNCT OF DELHI & ORS. Respondent(s)

(for deletion of the name of respondent and office report)

Date : 29/10/2014 This application was called on for hearing
today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE N.V. RAMANA
[IN CHAMBER]

For Petitioner(s)

Mr. Joseph Aristotle S.,Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr. Abhishek Prasad, Adv.

Mr. Ashok Mathur,Adv.

Mr. R.K. Verma, Adv. for

Mr. D. S. Mahra,Adv.

Mr. Gagan Gupta,Adv.

Mr. M. P. Devanath,Adv.

Mr. Ajay Thakur, Adv.

Mr. Shekhar Kumar,Adv.

Signature Not Verified

Mr. Manish Khandelwal, Adv.

Digitally signed by

Mr. Subramonium Prasad, Adv.

Pardeep Kumar

Date: 2014.11.03

16:37:44 SAST

Ms. Manjula Gupta,Adv.

Reason:

Mr. Bishwajit Dueby, Adv. for

M/s Suresh A. Shroff & Co.

: 2 :

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

I.A. No. 2 of 2014 is filed by the petitioners for
deletion of name of respondent No. 64 - All authorised Car

Dealers/Car Manufacturers whose names not mentioned above through Transport Department, GNCT of Delhi. It is represented by the learned counsel for the petitioners that all important car dealers are arrayed as party respondents and Transport Department -respondent No. 2 is represented by its Secretary-cum-Commissioner in these proceedings. Learned counsel submits that in view of the above, there is no necessity of continuing respondent No. 64 in the array of parties and the name of respondent No. 64 may be deleted from the array of parties.

In view of the submissions of learned counsel for the petitioners, I.A. No. 2 is allowed and name of respondent No. 64 is deleted from the array of parties.

(PARDEEP KUMAR)
AR-cum-PS

(H.S. PARASHER)
COURT MASTER