

ITEM NO.30

COURT NO.10

SECTION XIV

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

I.A. No. 2/2014 in Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (C)  
No(s). 26209/2012

(Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 20/04/2012  
in WPC No. 8408/2011 passed by the High Court Of Delhi At N.  
Delhi)

C. RAJARAM & ANR.

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

GNCT OF DELHI & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(for deletion of the name of respondent and office report)

Date : 29/10/2014 This application was called on for hearing  
today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE N.V. RAMANA  
[IN CHAMBER]

For Petitioner(s)

Mr. Joseph Aristotle S.,Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr. Abhishek Prasad, Adv.

Mr. Ashok Mathur,Adv.

Mr. R.K. Verma, Adv. for

Mr. D. S. Mahra,Adv.

Mr. Gagan Gupta,Adv.

Mr. M. P. Devanath,Adv.

Mr. Ajay Thakur, Adv.

Mr. Shekhar Kumar,Adv.

Mr. Manish Khandelwal, Adv.

Mr. Subramonium Prasad, Adv.

Ms. Manjula Gupta,Adv.

Mr. Bishwajit Dueby, Adv. for

M/s Suresh A. Shroff & Co.

: 2 :

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

I.A. No. 2 of 2014 is filed by the petitioners for deletion of name of respondent No. 64 - *All authorised Car Dealers/Car Manufacturers whose names not mentioned above through Transport Department, GNCT of Delhi.* It is represented by the learned counsel for the petitioners that all important car dealers are arrayed as party respondents and Transport Department -respondent No. 2 is represented by its Secretary-cum-Commissioner in these proceedings. Learned counsel submits that in view of the above, there is no necessity of continuing respondent No. 64 in the array of parties and the name of respondent No. 64 may be deleted from the array of parties.

In view of the submissions of learned counsel for the petitioners, I.A. No. 2 is allowed and name of respondent No. 64 is deleted from the array of parties.

(PARDEEP KUMAR)  
AR-cum-PS

(H.S. PARASHER)  
COURT MASTER