

n!l

ITEM NO.6

COURT NO.10

SECTION XIIA

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (C) Nos.22972-22985/2012

(Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 06/07/2012

in WP Nos.30167, 30168, 30173, 30215, 30253, 30798, 31567, 32372

and 33838 of 2011 and W.P. Nos.250, 1361, 3888, 4719 and 5436 of

2012, passed by the High Court Of A.P. At Hyderabad)

GOVT. OF A.P., REP.BY SEC. APPSC. Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

M.K.RAJASEKHAR AND ANR ETC.

Respondent(s)

(With prayer for interim relief and office report)

WITH SLP(C) No.25509/2012 (With Office Report)

Date : 29/11/2016 These petitions were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON&#39;BLE MR. JUSTICE S.A. BOBDE

HON&#39;BLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK BHUSHAN

For Petitioner(s) Mr. C.U. Singh, Sr. Adv.

Mrs. M. V. Rama, Adv.

Mrs. Anjani Aiyagari, Adv.

Mr. Anil Kumar Tandale, Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. R.S. Hegde, Adv.

Mr. Chandra Prakash, Adv.

Mrs. Farhat Jahan Rehmani, Adv.

Mr. K. Rajeev, Adv.

Mr. Yashraj Singh Bundela, Adv.

Mr. K. Rajeev, Adv.

Mr. S. Udaya Kumar Sagar, Adv.

Mr. P. Venkat Reddy, Adv.

Mr. Prashant Kr. Tyagi, Adv.

For M/s Venkat Palwai Law Associates

Mr. Srinivas Rao M., Adv.

Mr. Arun Devdas, Adv.

Mrs. Sudha Gupta, Adv.

2

Mr. Venkateswara Rao Anumolu, Adv.

Mr. Prabhakar Parnam, Adv.

Ms. Mamta Tekriwal, Adv.

Mr. Nitin S. Tambwekar, Adv.

Mr. Seshatalpa Sai Bandaru, Adv.

Mr. C. S. N. Mohan Rao, Adv.

Mr. D. Mahesh Babu, Adv.

Mr. T. N. Rao, Adv.

Mr. V. Mallik, Adv.

Mr. Rajeev Singh, Adv.

Mr. Guntur Prabhakar, Adv.

Ms. Prerna Singh, Adv.

Mr. T. V. Ratnam, Adv.

Mr. Senkara Kaushik, Adv.

Mr. M. Sowri Dev, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

We are not inclined to entertain these petitions since we are

in complete agreement with the impugned orders passed by the High

Court to the effect that (a) the cut off date for the purpose of

eligibility according to age will be as on 01.07.2008 and (b) the

cut off date for meeting the qualifications will be 13.4.2009.

Therefore, we find no infirmity in the impugned orders passed by

the High Court and the findings of the High Court are correct both

on the questions of facts and law.

Accordingly, these special leave petitions are dismissed.

However, we make it clear that the impugned orders passed by the High Court shall apply to the 16 vacancies in question. The petitioner - Andhra Pradesh Public Service Commission, may complete the selection process for filling up 16 vacancies in question as directed above, in any case, not later than six months from today.

3

This Court impleaded the applicant viz., Anil Kumar Papa Reddy, as party respondent in SLP(C) Nos.22972-22985 of 2012, by allowing his applications for impleadment being I.A. Nos.15-28 vide its order dated 09.09.2013. We are not inclined to decide the rights of this newly added respondent vis-a-vis the appointment in question to the post of Assistant Motor Vehicle Inspector. However, liberty is granted to him to approach the High Court by way of filing a writ petition.

Needless to mention that in case such a writ petition is filed by the newly added respondent - Anil Kumar Papa Reddy, the High Court shall consider and dispose it of on its own merits and in accordance with law, as expeditiously as possible preferably within a period of three months from today, without raising the question of delay in filing the said writ petition.

(Sanjay Kumar-II) (Indu Pokhriyal)

Court Master

Court Master