

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS
CIVIL APPEAL NO. 7219 OF 2010

BHANUPRASAD D.TRIVEDI

Appellant (s)

VERSUS

SEC. & EXCH. BOARD OF INDIA

Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for stay and office report)
(FOR FINAL DISPOSAL)

WITH

Civil Appeal NO. 7290 of 2010

(With appln(s) for stay and office report)
(FOR FINAL DISPOSAL)

Civil Appeal NO. 9834 of 2010

(With office report)
(FOR FINAL DISPOSAL)

Civil Appeal NO. 9777 of 2010

(With office report)
(FOR FINAL DISPOSAL)

Civil Appeal NO. 882-884 of 2013

(With office report)
(FOR FINAL DISPOSAL)

Date: 03/05/2013 These Appeals were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURINDER SINGH NIJJAR
HON'BLE MR JUSTICE PINAKI CHANDRA GHOSE

For Appellant(s)

CA 7219/10 &
CA 7290/10

Mr. Nikhil Nayyar, Adv.
Ms. Pritha Sukumar Iyer, Adv.
Mr. T.V.S. Raghavendra Sreyas, Adv.

CA 9834/10 &
CA 9777/10

Mr. Nikhil Goel, Adv.
Mr. Marsook Bafaki, Adv.
Mr. Shivraj Gaonkar, Adv.
for Mr. A. Venayagam Balan, Adv.

CA 882-884/13 & rr
in CA 7219/10, Mr. Pratap Venugopal, Adv.
7290/10, 9834/10,
9777/10

Mr. Goolam E. Vahanvati, AG
Mr. Debarshi Bhuyan, Adv.
Mr. Gaurav Nair, Adv.
for M/s K.J. John & Co.

...2/-

:2:

For Respondent(s)

CA 882-884/13

Mr. C.A. Sundram, Sr. Adv.
Mr. S. Somasekhar, Adv.
Mr. Dheeraj Nair, Adv.

Ms. Smita Bhargava, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

C.A. NO.7219/2010, C.A. No.7290/2010, C.A. NO.9834/2010 AND C.A.
NO.9777/2010

List the matters after ensuing summer vacation on a non-
miscellaneous day.

C.A. NOS.882-884/2013

The appeals are disposed of in terms of the signed order.

| (VINOD LAKHINA) | | (S.S.R. KRISHNA) | |
| COURT MASTER | | COURT MASTER | |

(SIGNED ORDER IS PLACED ON THE FILE)

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NOS. 882-884 OF 2013

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE BOARD OF
INDIA ...APPELLANT

VERSUS

JAYESH P. KHANDWALA HUF & ANR. ...RESPONDENTS

ORDER

By the impugned order, the Securities Appellate Tribunal
(for short, "the S.A.T.") remanded the matter back to the
Securities and Exchange Board of India (for short, "the Board")
for fresh consideration.

Mr. Goolam E. Vahanvati, learned Solicitor General
appearing for the appellant, submits that even if the Court is
inclined to uphold the order of remand made by S.A.T., the
appellant would have no objection. However, the appellant is
only anxious to receive from the respondents the list of the
assets.

Mr. C.A. Sundram, learned Senior Counsel appearing for the
respondents, has agreed to supply to the appellant the list of
the assets. Let the list be supplied within a period of three
weeks. We expect the Board to dispose of this matter within a
period of three months.

...2/-

:2:

With these observations, the impugned order of the S.A.T is upheld and the appeals are disposed of.

.....,J.
(SURINDER SINGH NIJJAR)

.....,J.
(PINAKI CHANDRA GHOSE)

NEW DELHI
MAY 03, 2013