

ITEM NO.50 COURT NO.9 SECTION II
SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Crl) No(s).4818/2007
(From the judgment and order dated 13/08/2007 in CRLMC No. 2415/2006 of The HIGH COURT OF
DELHI AT N. DELHI)

RAJESH BERRY Petitioner(s)
VERSUS
STATE (GNCT OF DELHI) & ANR. Respondent(s)
(With appln. for stay and office report)

Date: 15/01/2008 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE C.K. THAKKER
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE D.K. JAIN

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Mukul Rohtagi, Sr.Adv.
Mr. Vijay K. Gupta, Adv.
Mr. Mahinder Singh Dahiya, Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. Arun Jaitley, Sr.Adv.
Mr. Rajnesh Chopra, Adv.
Mr. Sandeep Kapur, Adv.
Mr. Ruchin Midha, Adv.
Mr. R.N. Karanjawala, Adv.
Mrs Manik Karanjawala, Adv.

For Delhi Police Mr. A. Sharan, ASG
Mr. TS Doabia, Sr.Adv.
Mr. SWA Qadri, Adv.
Mr. D.S. Mahra ,Adv

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following

ORDER

The learned counsel for the petitioner prays for time to go through the affidavit in reply and in case of necessity to file affidavit in rejoinder. The learned counsel for the respondents objects to grant an adjournment.

On the facts and in the circumstance of the case and particularly in view of the fact, that a copy of affidavit in reply was served on the learned counsel for the petitioner on 11.1.2008, in our opinion, ends of justice would be met if we grant time upto 25.1.2008. The affidavit in rejoinder, if any be filed in the meantime.

List on 28th January, 2008.

[Usha Bhardwaj]
Court Master

[Vinod Kulvi]
Court Master