

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

BEFORE THE REGISTRAR S.G. SHAH

TRANSFER PETITION (CIVIL.) NO(s). 807-821 OF 2008

UNION OF INDIA & ORS.

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

RETAILERS ASSOCIATION OF INDIA & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(With office report)

WITH

W.P(C) NO. 147 of 2009

(With office report)

T.P.(C) NO. 738-796 of 2009

(With office report)

Date: 09/07/2010 These Petitions were called on for hearing today.

For Petitioner(s)

Mr Y.P.Mahajan, Adv.
Mr B.K.Prasad, Adv.
Mr. B.V. Balaram Das,Adv.
Mrs Anil Katiyar,Adv.
Mr. M.A.Chinnasamy,Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Ms Neha Agarwal, Adv.
Mr. E.C. Agrawala,Adv.
Mr. Dinesh Kumar Garg ,Adv
Mr. Rajan Narain ,Adv
Mr. Nikhil Nayyar ,Adv
Mr. R.Chandrachud ,Adv
Mr Abhijeet Swaroop, Adv.
M/S. Khaitan & Co.
Mr Ravi Shankar, Adv.
Mr G.N.Reddy, Adv.
Ms Kanika Agnihotri, Adv.
Mr Aseem Chaturvedi, Adv.
Ms Kavita Wadia, Adv.
Mr Pratap Venugopal,Adv.
Mr Dileep Poolakkot, Adv.
Mr Ashoka Kr Thakur, Adv.
Mr Ram Ekbal Roy, Adv.
Mr M.P.Jha, Adv.

-2-

Item No.17

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

Issue fresh notice.

Additional dasti is permitted in the concerned

petitions where some of the respondents are yet not served.

Served respondents may file counter affidavit.

Learned Advocate, Ms Neha Agarwal appearing for respondent no.1 in SLP(C) No. 807/2008 states that they are also appearing for respondent no.2 and respondent No.2 is adopting the counter affidavit filed by respondent No.1 thereby registry has not to wait for counter affidavit to be filed by respondent No.2.

List again on 26.8.2010.

(S.G. SHAH)
Registrar

hj