

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Crl) No(s).5897/2011

(From the judgement and order dated 04/07/2011 in CRLM No. 19052/2011 of
The HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH)

KAMLESH & ANR

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA

Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for stay of arrest and office report)

Date: 27/09/2013 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURINDER SINGH NIJJAR
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE FAKKIR MOHAMED IBRAHIM KALIFULLA

For Petitioner(s)

Mr. Rishi Malhotra,Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr. Vikas Sharma, Adv.
for Mr. Kamal Mohan Gupta,Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

We have heard learned counsel for the parties.

It has been brought to our notice by the learned counsel
for the respondent that the allegations against the husband are
similar to the allegations against the petitioners. On that basis,
learned counsel submits that the High Court was justified in directing
that the petitioners should also move for regular bail.

...2/-

appearing for the petitioners has submitted that not only the husband has been granted regular bail, but the other co-accused who are the elder brother, his wife and the younger brother (Yogender, Sarita and Satinder) were granted anticipatory bail by the High Court on 23.5.2011. The anticipatory bail granted by the High Court is continuing during the trial. In such circumstances, we see no reason to distinguish the case of the present petitioners, who are stated to be old aged. This apart, the anticipatory bail was granted to them by this Court on 23.8.2011.

In view of the above, we direct that the order passed by this Court on 23.8.2011 shall continue during the pendency of the trial.

The special leave petition is, accordingly, disposed of.

(Sukhbir Paul Kaur)
Court Master

(Indu Bala Kapur)
Court Master