ITEM NO.24 COURT NO.5 SECTION IX

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil) No(s).22053/2010

(From the judgement and order dated 15/07/2010 in CA No. 114/2010
In Family Court Appeal No. 105/2007 of The HIGH COURT OF BOMBAY)

ANIL PARSRAM KIRPALANI Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

VAANITA ANIL KIRPALANI Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for exemption from filing c/c of the impugned
Judgment and permission to file additional documents and with
prayer for interim relief and office report)

Date: 16/08/2010 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE D.K. JAIN
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.L. DATTU

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Ranjit Kumar, Sr. Adv.
Mrs. Manjula Rao, Adv.
Mr. Satyajit Saha, Adv.
Mrs V.D. Khanna,Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. Uday U Lalit, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Aurup Das Gupta, Adv.
Mr. Rohan Thawani, Adv.
Ms. Vandana Sehgal, Adv.
Mr. Hardeep Singh Anand,Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

Having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case, we are not inclined to interfere with the impugned order in exercise of our jurisdiction under Article 136 of the Constitution. Accordingly, the special leave petition is dismissed. However, the time granted by the High Court for deposit of Rs. 6,54,000/- is extended by a further period of six weeks from today.

Learned senior counsel for the petitioner submits that there is some incongruity in the impugned

..2/-

order with regard to payment of Rs. 25,000/- per month for the daughter. If that be so, it will be open to the petitioner to seek clarification from the High Court.

We would request the High Court to try to dispose of the main appeal as expeditiously as practicable, preferably within 6 months from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

[Charanjeet Kaur]
Court Master

[Kusum Gulati]
Court Master