

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

IA 6-9/2013 in Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil) No(s).35109-35112/2012

PUNJAB STATE POWER CORP.LTD. Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

RAJESH KUMAR JINDAL & ORS. Respondent(s)

(for stay and office report)

Date: 02/08/2013 These Petitions were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE G.S. SINGHVI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHANSU JYOTI MUKHOPADHAYA

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Nidhesh Gupta, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Pranav A. Kapoor, Adv.
Mr. A.Venayagam Balan,Adv.
Ms. V.S. Lakshmi, Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. Tushar Bakshi, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

These applications have been filed by the petitioner for stay of the orders passed by the Division Bench and the learned Single Judge of the Punjab and Haryana High Court.

We have heard Shri Nidhesh Gupta, learned senior counsel for the petitioner at some length and perused the record.

In our considered view, there is no valid ground much less justification for staying the operation of the orders passed by the learned Single Judge and the impugned judgment. If the special leave petitions are finally allowed, then the petitioner will be free to recover the excess amount from the salary and other emoluments payable to the respondents. However, there is no equity in staying implementation of the order passed by the learned Single Judge, which has been confirmed by the Division Bench.

The applications are accordingly dismissed.

The petitioner is allowed one month's time to implement the direction given by the learned Single Judge of the High Court. If the needful is not done then the High Court shall be free to take action under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 against the defaulting officers.

| (Parveen Kr.Chawla)
| Court Master
|

| | (Phoolan Wati Arora)
| | Court Master
| |