

ITEM NO.41

COURT NO.8

SECTION XVIA

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

TRANSFER PETITION (CRL.) NO(s). 273-274 OF 2012

SWARNLATA @ SNEHLATA

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

M/S LOGOTECH INDIA P.LTD.

Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for stay,permission to file additional documents and office report))

Date: 18/10/2013 These Petitions were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURINDER SINGH NIJJAR
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.K. SIKRI

For Petitioner(s)

Mr. Nirmal Kumar Ambastha, Adv.

Mr. Ardhendumauli Kumar Prasad,Adv.

Mr. Aviral Shukla, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

The Transfer Petitions are allowed in terms of the signed order.

(Sukhbir Paul Kaur)
Court Master

(Indu Bala Kapur)
Court Master

(Signed order is placed on the file)

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CRIMINAL ORIGINAL JURISDICTION

TRANSFER PETITION(CRIMINAL) NOS. 273-274 OF 2012

|SWARNLATA @ SNEHLATA

|Appellant(s) |

Versus

|M/S LOGOTECH INDIA PRIVATE LIMITED

|Respondent(s) |

O R D E R

We have heard learned counsel for the petitioner.

We have also perused the office report, which shows that the service of show cause notice is complete. In spite of service, none appears to oppose the petitions for transfer. Since no counter affidavit has been filed controverting the factual averments made in the transfer petitions, the same have to be accepted as pleaded. A perusal of the facts pleaded and the plea raised makes it clear that the petitions deserve to be allowed.

Consequently, the Transfer Petitions are allowed.

The Complaint Case Nos. 97 and 98 of 2010 both titled as M/s Logotech India Private Limited versus Snehlata filed by the respondent herein, now pending in the Court of Ms. Kiran Bansal, learned

-2-

Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate-I, East, Karkardooma Courts, Delhi are transferred to the Court of the Chief Judicial Magistrate, Patna, Bihar.

.....J.
(SURINDER SINGH NIJJAR)

.....J.
(A.K. SIKRI)

New Delhi,
October 18, 2013