

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

TRANSFER PETITION (CRL.) NO(s). 408-409 OF 2008

SANJEEDA BEGUM & ANR. Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF U.P.& ORS. Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for exemption from filing O.T.,stay,permission to file synopsis and list of dates,permission to file counter affidavit,permission to file additional documents and office report ))

Date: 07/12/2009 These Petitions were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE V.S. SIRPURKAR  
HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE MUKUNDAKAM SHARMA

For Petitioner(s) Mr. P.H.Parekh, Sr. Adv.  
Mr. Sumit Goel, Adv.  
Ms. Shakun, Adv.  
Mr. Naushad Khan, Adv.  
Mr. Somanadri Goud, Adv.  
M/S. Parekh & Co.,Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. S.R.Singh, Sr. Adv.  
Mr. Sandeep Singh, Adv.  
Mr. Jitendra Mohan Sharma,Adv.  
  
Mr. R.Dash, Sr. Adv.  
Mr. Rajiv Kr. Dubey, adv.  
Mr. Kamendra Mishra ,Adv  
  
Mr. T. Mahipal ,Adv

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

The transfer petitions are dismissed in terms of the signed order.

(Shashi Sareen) (Shashi Bala Vij)  
Court Master Court Master

(Signed order is placed on the file)  
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

CRIMINAL ORIGINAL JURISDICTION

TRANSFER PETITION (CRL.) NOS. 408-409 OF 2008

SANJEEDA BEGUM & ANR. ... Petitioner(s)

Versus

STATE OF U.P. & ORS. ... Respondent(s)

O R D E R

1. Heard learned counsel appearing for the parties.

2. We do not see any ground to transfer the case from State of U.P. to Delhi. The transfer petitions are, accordingly, dismissed with liberty to the petitioners to approach the High Court seeking inter district transfer within the State of U.P. itself. If such an application is made, the same shall be considered on its own merits.

.....J.  
(V.S.SIRPURKAR)

.....J.  
(Dr.MUKUNDAKAM SHARMA)

New Delhi,  
December 07, 2009.