

ITEM NO. 6

COURT NO.8

SECTION IIA

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Crl) No(s).6332/2010

(From the judgement and order dated 21/06/2010 in
3439/2006 of The HIGH COURT OF BOMBAY BENCH AT NAGPUR)

CRLA No.

STATE OF MAHARASHTRA

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

SHIVKUMAR @ SHIWALAMAL N.CHUGWANI

Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for ex-Parte stay)

WITH SLP(Crl) No. 6514 of 2010
(With appln. for ex-parte stay and office report)
SLP(Crl) No. 6518 of 2010
(With appln. for ex-parte stay and office report)
SLP(Crl) No. 6521 of 2010
(With appln. for ex-parte stay and office report)
SLP(Crl) No. 6537 of 2010
(With appln. for ex-parte stay and office report)
SLP(Crl) No. 6570 of 2010
(With appln. for ex-parte stay and office report)
SLP(Crl) No. 6598 of 2010
(With appln. for ex-parte stay and office report)
SLP(Crl) No. 6615 of 2010
(With appln. for ex-parte stay and office report)

Date: 13/09/2010 These Petitions were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE V.S. SIRPURKAR
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE CYRIAC JOSEPH

For Petitioner(s) Mr. U.U. Lalit, Sr. Adv.
 Mr. Sanjay V. Kharde, Adv.
 Mr. Arun R. Pedneker, Adv. for
 Ms. Asha Gopalan Nair, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

2

We have heard learned senior counsel appearing for
the petitioner.

In our view, the stand taken by the High Court on the
breach of Section 13(2) of the Prevention of Food
Adulteration Act is absolutely correct. Insofar as the
direction of the High Court for taking action against the
erring officials is concerned, the State of Maharashtra

cannot have any locus standi.

These Special Leave Petitions being devoid of any merits are, accordingly, dismissed.

(Shashi Sareen)
Court Master

(Shashi Bala Vij)
Court Master