

ITEM NO.43

COURT NO.3

SECTION IIB

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Crl.) No(s). 5929/2012

(Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 03/07/2012
in CRLM No. 18789/2012 passed by the High Court Of Punjab &
Haryana At Chandigarh)

WILLIAM SINGH SANDHU @DILJINDER S SANDHU

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(With Office Report)

Date : 21/07/2014 This petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE T.S. THAKUR
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ADARSH KUMAR GOEL

For Petitioner(s)

Mr. R.B.S. Chahal, Adv.
Ms. Jyotika Kalra, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr. Jayant K. Sud, AAG (R-1 to R-4)
Mr. Chirag Khurana, Adv.
Ms. Bonit Singh, Adv.
Ms. Jasleen Chahal, Adv.
Mr. Vishal Dabas, Adv.
Mr. Kuldip Singh, Adv.

Mr. Tushar Bakshi, Adv. (R-5)

Mr. Balraj Dewan, Adv. (R-6)

Mr. P.N. Jha, Adv. (R-7)

Mr. S.K. Pathak, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

Heard.

Signature Not Verified

Digitally signed by
Mahabir Singh
Date: 2014.07.22

Learned counsel for the respondent-State of Punjab submits

16:16:59 IST
Reason:

that the investigating officer has already filed a closure report
before the competent court on which the Magistrate may in due

2

course take further steps in accordance with law.

On behalf of

respondent no.5-complainant it is submitted that although a
closure report has been filed, respondent no.5 proposes to file a

petition, opposing the proposed closure of the case.

In the circumstances, we see no reason to interfere with the order impugned at this stage. It is obvious that if any protest petition is filed by the complainant, the Magistrate concerned will examine the same and pass appropriate orders in accordance with law. Should any such order go against the appellant, he shall be free to seek redress against the same before the appropriate forum. We make it clear that we have expressed no opinion on the merits of the case or the contentions sought to be urged by the parties in support of their respective versions.

The special leave petition is dismissed, with the above observations. We expect the Magistrate to expedite the hearing and disposal of the protest petition, if any filed by the complainant.

(MAHABIR SINGH)
COURT MASTER

(VEENA KHERA)
COURT MASTER