

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA  
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION  
CRIMINAL APPEAL NO.(S). 1286 OF 2014  
(Arising out of SLP(Crl.) No.1006 of 2013)

PRABHAKAR  
Appellant(s)

App

VERSUS

STATE OF MAHARASHTRA  
Respondents(s)

Res

## O R D E R

Delay condoned.

Leave granted.

The appellant has been convicted and sentenced to undergo

rigorous imprisonment for a period of seven years

for an offence punishable under Section 489-B, a period of five years for the offence punishable under Section 489-C and a period of two years for the offence punishable under Section 420 r/w Section 34 of the I.P.C.

A fine of Rs.5000/- each for the offences punishable under Sections 489-B and

489-C and Rs.1,000/- for the offence punishable under Section 420 has also been awarded against him. In default of payment of fine,

the appellant has been sentenced to undergo further rigorous imprisonment for a period of six months, under Section 489-B, a period of six months, under Section Section 489-C and a period of two months, under Section 420 of the I.P.C.

All the sentences awarded to the appellant have been directed to run concurrently.

Signature Not Verified

When the matter came up for preliminary hearing before us

Digitally signed by  
on

Mahabir Singh  
Date: 2014.07.14

28th January, 2013 we had while issuing not

ice in the  
17:14:58 IST

Reason: application for condonation of delay issued not

ice in the special leave petition also limited to the question of quantum of sentence only. We have accordingly heard learned counsel

2

for the parties at some length on that limited question.

Learned counsel for the parties have drawn our attention to a certificate issued by the Superintendent, Nashik Road Central Prison, from which it appears that out of a total of seven years' imprisonment, awarded to the appellant, he has already undergone imprisonment for nearly five years.

We are also

informed by learned counsel that the appellant has no criminal antecedents. From the order passed by the trial court it is evident that the appellant is a first offender.

In the circumstances and keeping in view the age of the appellant, his family strength, his social and economic status



(MAHABIR SINGH)  
COURT MASTER

(VEENA KHERA)  
COURT MASTER

(Signed order is placed on the file)