

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

I.A. No. 5/2013, I.A. No. 6/2013, I.A. No. 7/2013 in Civil Appeal
No(s). 6220/2009

SYED BASHID

Appellant(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF KARNATAKA & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(for substitution of Lrs. of the deceased respondent, c/delay in
filing substitution appln. and setting aside an abatement)

WITH I.A.No....INC.A. No. 6221/2009

(appln.(s) for substn. and c/d in filing substn. apln. and office
report)

IA Nos. 3,4&5 IN C.A. No. 6222/2009

(With appln.(s) for setting aside an abatement and appln.(s) for
c/delay in filing substitution appln. and appln.(s) for
substitution of Lrss of the deceased respondent)

IA Nos. 3,4&5 IN C.A. No. 6223/2009

(With appln.(s) for setting aside an abatement and appln.(s) for
c/delay in filing substitution appln. and appln.(s) for
substitution of l.rs of the deceased respondent)

IA Nos. 3,4&5 IN C.A. No. 6225/2009

(With appln.(s) for setting aside an abatement and appln.(s) for
substitution of Lrs. of the deceased respondent and appln.(s) for
c/delay in filing substitution appln. and Office Report)

Date : 27/10/2014 These applications were called on for hearing
today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE V. GOPALA GOWDA
[IN CHAMBER]

For Appellant(s)

Mr. Rana Mukherjee, Adv.
Ms. Kasturika Kaumudi, Adv.
Mr. Shekhar Kumar, Adv.

Mr. M. J. Paul, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr. Ankur S. Kulkarni, Adv.
Mr. Vijay Kumar, Adv.

Signature Not Verified

Mr. Khwairakpam Nobin Singh, Adv.

Digitally signed by

Suman Wadhwa

Date: 2014.10.29

10:11:24 IST

Reason:

Mr. M. J. Paul, Adv.

Mr. Tayenjam Momo Singh, Adv.

Ms. Anitha Shenoy, Adv.

Mr. Vijay Kumar, Adv.

Mr. Balaji Srinivasan, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

Accepting the reasons stated in the application for
abatement in bringing the Lrs. of the deceased respondent

is set aside.

Delay condoned.

Consequently substitution applications are allowed.

Amended cause title filed in these appeals is taken on record.

The Registry is directed to list the matters before the Bench.

The learned counsel for the respondent no.21 in the connected appeals is also representing respondent no.22 in C.A.No.6221/2009. Therefore no separate vakalatnama is necessary.

(SUMAN WADHWA)
AR-cum-PS

(H.S. PARASHER)
COURT MASTER