

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

BEFORE THE REGISTRAR M.K. HANJURA

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil) No(s).36975-36976/2009

R.KRISHNAMURTHY

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

UNION OF INDIA & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(With office report)

Date: 13/04/2011 These Petitions were called on for hearing today.

For Petitioner(s)

Mr. N. Rajaraman, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Ms Vibha Narang, Adv.
Mrs Anil Katiyar, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

The ld. Counsel for the petitioner and the ld. Counsel for the respondent No.1 are present.

On 10.3.2011 an order came to be passed in the instant petition which is reproduced herein below verbatim:

" None for the petitioner.
Learned counsel for the respondent No.1 is present. She seeks four weeks' time to file the counter affidavit. Time, as prayed for, is granted to her.

Vide order dated 11.1.2011, passed by the Registrar's Court, learned counsel for the petitioner was directed to take fresh steps for confirming

-2-

Item No.38

service of the unserved respondents but he has not done so to date. The matter shall, therefore, be listed before the Hon'ble Judge in Chamber for non-prosecution against the said respondents.
List again on 13.4.2011."

The order quoted above specifically directed that the matter be listed before the Hon'ble Judge in Chambers for non-prosecution. As such, the matter could not have been listed before this Court. If it is done to complete the number game of

listing 150 matters before the Registrar's Court, then all that can be said is that this practice should be stopped forthwith. A copy of this order shall be sent to the ld. Secretary General for his kind perusal and further orders, if any warranted to be passed on the Administrative side.

List before the Hon'ble Judge in Chambers for further future directions.

(M.K.HANJURA)
Registrar

hj