

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G SCivil Appeal No. 5809/2011

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ORS.

Appellant(s)

VERSUS

ASPI CHINYOY AND ANR.

Respondent(s)

WITH

SLP(C) Nos. 26906-26911/2011 (IX)

Date : 08-10-2020 This appeal & SLPs were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE N.V. RAMANA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURYA KANT
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIRUDDHA BOSE

For Appellant(s)

Mr. Rahul Chitnis, Adv.
Mr. Sachin Patil, AOR
Mr. Geo Joseph, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr. Vikas Mehta, AOR
Ms. Anushree Menon, Adv.

Mr. C.U. Singh, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Aspi Chinoy, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Sameer Parekh, Adv.
Mr. Sumit Goel, Adv.
Mr. Tanuj Agarwal, Adv.
Mr. Manu Bajaj, Adv.
For M/s.Parekh & Co., AOR

Mr. Faisal Sherwani , AOR

Mr. Kshitij Vaibhav, Adv.
Ms. Sheena Taqui, Adv.
Ms. Suvarna Dubey, Adv.
Mrs. Bina Gupta, AORUPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

The Court is convened through Video Conferencing.

Learned counsel appearing for the State of Maharashtra seeks adjournment to enable him to obtain instructions in the matters.

Learned senior counsel appearing for the respondents states that looking into the voluminous records in the matters, it would be appropriate to list these matters after physical Court starts functioning.

We agree with the suggestion made by the learned senior counsel for the respondents and the Registry is directed to list these matters after physical Court starts functioning.

(VISHAL ANAND)
ASTT. REGISTRAR-cum-PS

(RAJ RANI NEGI)
DY. REGISTRAR