

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

BEFORE THE REGISTRAR S.G. SHAH

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil) No(s).23552/2011

JAGMINDER SINGH

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

PUNJAB & SIND BANK & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for c/delay in filing SLP,permission to file additional documents,CORRECTION and prayer for interim relief and office report)

Date: 18/01/2012

This Petition was called on for hearing today.

For Petitioner(s)

Mr Shantanu Singh, Adv.
Mr. Nikilesh Ramachandran,Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr Pankaj Pandey, Adv.
Mr. Sanjay Kapur,Adv.
Mr. Ram Swarup Sharma ,Adv
Mr Kanwaljit Singh, Adv.
Mr. Arun Aggarwal ,Adv
Mr Krishna Dev, Adv.
Mr.Senthil Jagadeesan ,Adv.
Ms Janhavi, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

It is unfortunate that an affidavit dated 12.1.2012 is filed on record stating that dasti notices alongwith copy of the SLP has been served to respondent Nos. 2 and 3.Receipts of dasti notices are enclosed herein with such affidavit.No acknowledgment of notice is found, whereas petitioner has simply annexed the receipt of sending such dasti notices by registered post acknowledgment due on 11.1.2012.The fact remains that if they have received the

-2-

Item No.10

acknowledgment they would have certainly filed it since two weeks has already lapsed.

No acknowledgment are filed on record. Such receipt

cannot be treated as proof of dasti service. If petitioner fails to file proper proof of service upon unserved respondents before 16.2.2012, list before the Hon'ble Judge in Chambers for non-prosecution.

Served respondents may file counter affidavit till then.

(S.G.SHAH)
REGISTRAR

hj