

SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil) No(s).20706/2008

(From the judgement and order dated 24/06/2008 in WA No.59/2008
of The HIGH COURT OF CHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR)

GOVIND BARIK & ORS.

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

PT. RAVISHANKAR SHUKLA UNIV. & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(With office report)

Date: 13/10/2008 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE B.N. AGRAWAL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE G.S. SINGHVI

For Petitioner(s)

Mr. Dhruv Mehta, Adv.
Mr. Hiren Dasan, Adv.
Ms. Sarla Chandra, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr. Ravindra Shrivastava, Sr.Adv.
Ms. Vibha Datta Makhija, Adv.
Mr. Kunal Verma, Adv.
Mr. Rajul Shrivastav, Adv.
Ms. Supriya Jain, Adv.
Mr. K. Krishna Kumar, Adv.
Mr. Anup Jain, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
ORDER

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners stated
that Dasti service has been effected upon Respondent Nos.1 to 5, who are
necessary parties in this case. Let proof of Dasti service be filed in court.
...2/-

-2-

Though the case was placed under the heading "Incomplete
After Notice Matters" but with the consent of learned counsel for the
parties, the petition was taken up for hearing.

Leave granted.

The appeal is disposed of.

[T.I. Rajput]
A.R.-cum-P.S.

[Savita Sainani]
Court Master

N.B.: Proof of Dasti service enclosed herewith.

[Signed order is placed on the file]
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO.6095 OF 2008
(Arising out of S.L.P. (C) No.20706/2008)

Govind Barik & Ors. ...Appellant(s)

Versus

Pt. Ravishankar Shukla Univ. & Ors. ...Respondent(s)

O R D E R

Though the case was placed under the heading "Incomplete After Notice Matters" but with the consent of learned counsel for the parties, the petition was taken up for hearing.

Leave granted.

Having heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the records, we are of the view that the appeal pending before the High Court should be disposed of and, till the same is not disposed of, the interim order passed by this Court on 21 st August, 2008 in this case should continue to operate.

Accordingly, the High Court is requested to dispose of the appeal pending before it within a period of three months from the date of receipt/production of copy of this order and, so long as the said appeal is not disposed of, the interim order passed by this Court shall continue to operate.

The appeal is, accordingly, disposed of.

.....J

[B.N. AGRAWAL]

.....J

[G.S. SINGHVI]

New Delhi,
October 13, 2008.