ÒITEM NO.33 REGISTRAR COURT.1 SECTION II

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS
BEFORE THE REGISTRAR S.G. SHAH

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Crl) No(s).6236-6237/2009

ANIL KUMAR Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

RIZWAN & ANR. Respondent(s)
(With appln(s) for exemption from filing O.T.,stay)
WITH SLP(Crl) NO. 2928-2929 of 2010
(With office report)
SLP(Crl) NO. 8102-8103 of 2010
(With office report)

Date: 22/11/2010 These Petitions were called on for hearing today.

For Petitioner(s)
Mr. P.P. Singh,Adv.
Mr. Sandeep Malik, Adv.
Mr. Jitendra Mohan Sharma, Adv.

For Respondent(s)
M/S. "Coac",Adv.
Mr. Bharat Ram, Adv.
Mr. Kamlendra Mishra ,Adv
Mr. A.Venayagam Balan ,Adv
M/s Parekh & Co,

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

It has been pointed out that some of the unserved respondents are common in connected SLPs. In view of such fact, petitioner in SLP(Crl.) Nos.8102-8103/2010 is permitted to serve dasti notice upon the unserved respondent through the concerned Advocate, who has filed appearance in any of the connected SLP within this group.

The learned Advocate, Mr. Bharat Kumar, appearing on behalf of Mr. Kamlendra Mishra, Advocate-on-Record, being standing counsel for the State of U.P. accepts notice for the State of U.P in all the SLPs and seeks time to file vakalatnama and counter affidavit.

They may do so on or before 4.1.2011.

(S.G. SHAH)

REGISTRAR

rd