

Writ Petition(s)(Civil) No(s). 643/2015  
ALL INDIA JUDGES ASSOCIATION Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

UNION OF INDIA & ORS. Respondent(s)  
(with appln. (s) for permission to file synopsis and list of dates  
and office report)

Date : 08/03/2017 This petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON&#39;BLE MR. JUSTICE J. CHELAMESWAR  
HON&#39;BLE MR. JUSTICE S. ABDUL NAZEER

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Gourab Banerji, Sr. Adv.  
Ms. Mayuri Raghuvanshi, Adv.  
Mr. Vyom Raghuvanshi, Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. A. Nadkarni, ASG  
Mr. Santosh Kumar, Adv.  
Ms. Swarupama Chaturvedi, Adv.  
Mr. R. Rana, Adv.  
Mr. Pranav Kumar, Adv.  
Mr. Raj Singh Rana, Adv.  
Mr. Santosh Rebello, Adv.  
Ms. Sunita Sharma, Adv.  
Ms. Rashmi Malhotra, Adv.  
Mr. Harish Kr. Khinchi, Adv.  
Mr. Umesh Chaurasia, Adv.  
Mr. Raj Bahadur, Adv.  
Mr. M.K. Maroria, Adv.  
A&N Administration Mr. Bhupesh Narula, Adv.  
Mr. K.V. Jagdishvaran, Adv.  
Ms. G. Indira, Adv.  
State of AP Mr. Guntur Prabhakar, Adv.  
High Court of Bombay Mr. A.P. Mayee, Adv.  
High Court of Mr. A. Selvin Raja, Adv.  
Chattisgarh Mr. Charudatta Mahindrakar, Adv.  
HC of Chattisgarh Mr. Ashish Kumar Sinha, Adv.  
Mr. Apoorv Kurup, Adv.

2  
High Court of Calcutta Mr. Jaideep Gupta, Sr. Adv.  
Mr. Kunal Chatterji, Adv.  
Ms. Maitrayee Banerjee, Adv.  
Delhi HC Mr. A.D.N. Rao, Adv.  
Mr. Sudipto Sircar, Adv.  
Mr. Annam Venkatesh, Adv.  
Mr. Rahul Mishra, Adv.  
State of Gujarat Ms. Hemantika Wahi, Adv.  
Ms. Jesal Wahi, Adv.  
Ms. Puja Singh, Adv.  
Ms. Mamta Singh, Adv.  
State of Goa Mr. A.N.S. Nadkarni, ASG  
Mr. Pratap Venugopal, Adv.  
Ms. Surekha Raman, Adv.  
Ms. Niharika, Adv.  
Mr. Aman Shukla, Adv.  
Ms. Kanika K., Adv.  
for M/s.K.J. John & Co.  
State of HP Mr. Varinder Kumar Sharma, Adv.  
Ms. Suman Rani, Adv.  
HC of J&K Mr. Bharat Sangal, Adv.  
State of Jharkhand Mr. Vishal Arun, Adv.  
HC of Jharkhand Mr. Krishnanand Pandeya, Adv.  
State of Karnataka Mr. V.N. Raghupathy, Adv.  
Mr. Parikshit P. Angadi, Adv.  
Mr. Prakash Jadhav, Adv.  
HC of MP Mr. C.D. Singh, Adv.

Ms. Sakshi Kakkar, Adv.  
State of Manipur Mr. Sapam Biswajit Meitei, Adv.  
Ms. B. Khushbansi, Adv.  
Mr. Naresh Kr. Gaur, Adv.  
HC of Manipur Ms. Sneha Kalita, Adv.  
Mr. Satyam Jyoti Saikia, Adv.  
State of Meghalaya Mr. Subro Sanyal, Adv.  
HC of Meghalaya Mr. Sanjai Kumar Pathak, Adv.

3

State of Maharashtra Mr. Kunal A. Cheema, Adv.  
Mr. Yotesh K. Ahirrao, Adv.  
Mr. Nishant R. Katneshwarkar, Adv.  
State of Nagaland Mr. Z.H. Isaac Haiding, Adv.  
Mr. Edward Belho, Adv.  
Ms. K. Enatoli Sema, Adv.  
Mr. Amit Kumar Singh, Adv.  
State of Odisha Mr. Krishnayan Sen, Adv.  
Ms. Asiya Khan, Adv.  
State of Punjab Mr. Saurabh Ajay Gupta, Adv.  
Mr. Nishant Bishnoi, Adv.  
Govt. of Puducherry Mr. V.G. Pragasaam, Adv.  
Mr. Prabu Ramasubramanian, Adv.  
State of Rajasthan Mr. Shiv Mangal Sharma, AAG  
Mr. Adhiraj Singh Rajawat, Adv.  
Mr. Arjun Singh, Adv.  
Ms. Ruchi Kohli, Adv.  
State of Sikkim Ms. Aruna Mathur, Adv.  
Mr. Avneesh Arputham, Adv.  
Ms. Anuradha Arputham, Adv.  
Mr. Amit Arora, Adv.  
M/s. Arputham Aruna & Co.  
High Court of Tripura Mr. Naresh K. Sharma, Adv.  
State of UP Mr. Irshad Ahmad, AAG  
Ms. Archana Singh, Adv.  
Mr. Abhishth Kumar, Adv.  
State of Uttarakhand Mr. Tanmaya Agarwal, Adv.  
Ms. Rachna Srivastava, Adv.  
Mr. Sukrit R. Kapoor, Adv.  
High Court of  
Uttarakhand Mr. Mukesh K. Giri, AAG  
State of WB Mr. Soumitra G. Chaudhri, Adv.  
Mr. Parijat Sinha, Adv.  
Mr. Apoorv Kurup, Adv.  
Ms. Udit Singh, Adv.  
Mr. Sudhanshu S. Chaudhari, Adv.

4

Mr. Nikhil Nayyar, Adv.  
Mr. N. Sai Vinod, Adv.  
Ms. Smriti Shah, Adv.  
Mr. Divyanshu Rai, Adv.  
Mr. P.I. Jose, Adv.  
Mr. Shashank Mishra, Adv.  
Mr. Nikhil Goel, Adv.  
  
Ms. Pragati Neekhara, Adv.  
Mr. Suryanarayana Singh, Adv.  
Ms. Bhabna Das, Adv.  
Mr. A. Radhakrishnan, Adv.  
  
Mr. Devendra Singh, Adv.  
  
Mr. Mukul Kumar, Adv.  
Mr. Sibho Sankar Mishra, Adv.  
Ms. Preetika Dwivedi, Adv.  
Ms. Archana Mishra, Adv.  
UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

It is brought to our notice today that all the respondents have now been served. Three newly constituted High Courts, namely, Tripura, Manipur and Meghalaya High Courts though have not been formally impleaded as parties take notice of the pendency of the matter. In fact, Ms. Sneha Kalita, standing counsel for the High Court of Manipur, Mr. Sanjai Kumar Pathak, learned counsel for the High Court of Meghalaya and Mr. Naresh K. Sharma, learned counsel for the High Court of Tripura, have appeared today and undertakes to enter their appearance within two weeks. Prima facie, we are of the opinion, to give appropriate further directions in terms of the prayer in the writ petition, certain data is required to be collected from various States, Union of India and the concerned High

5

Courts. Such an exercise is possible only if a body competent to collect a data is brought into existence, as it was done earlier by the orders of this Court in appointing the Shetty Commission. All the learned counsel appearing for the various parties including the learned Additional Solicitor General representing Union of India also agree for such course of action. The only question which remains is: what should be the terms of reference of such a Commission. Learned Additional Solicitor General submits that, by the next date of hearing, the Union of India will come forward with draft of the terms of reference which, in their view, would be appropriate in the context of the controversy in hand. We permit the Union of India to place such draft terms of reference by the next date of hearing. Preferably such terms of reference be supplied to all the learned counsel appearing for the various States and the writ petitioner, at least a week before the next date of hearing, to enable the respondents to examine the proposals and to come up with any suggestion which may be appropriate in the context of the matter.

List the matter on 19 th April, 2017 for appropriate further directions.  
(DEEPAK MANSUKHANI) (RAJINDER KAUR)  
AR-cum-PS Court Master