

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil)...../2 0 0 8
CC 1 3 7 7 7 - 13 7 7 8 / 2 0 0 8

(From the judgement and order dated 12 / 0 7 / 2 0 0 7 in WA V M P No. 5 0 2 / 2 0 0 7
& WA No. 1 2 1 8 / 2 0 0 6 & WAM P No. 25 2 6 / 2 0 0 6 of The HIGH COUR T OF
A.P AT HYD E R A B A D)

GOVT.O F A.P.& ORS.

Petitioner(s)

VER SUS

V.S R I N I V A S A RAO & ORS. ETC.

Respondent(s)

With I.A. No.1- 2 (C/delay in filing SL P)

Date: 17 / 1 0 / 2 0 0 8 These Petitions were called on for hearing today.

CORAM : HON' B L E MR. JUS T I C E R.V. RAV E E N D R A N
HON' B L E MR. JUS T I C E J.M. PA NC H A L

For Petitioner(s) Mr. R. Sundar ava rdh a n, Sr. Adv.
Mrs.D. Bha r a thi Reddy, Adv.
Ms. Altaf Fati m a, Adv.
Mr. V. P r a bh a k a r Rao, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

There is a delay of 3 0 8 days in filing the SL P . The delay is not satisfactorily explained. SL P is filed against interim order which makes it clear that the implementation of the learned single Judge's order directed by the Division Bench will be subject to the final order. If the petitioner implements the order no prejudice will be caused, as it will be subject to pending litigation. The petitions are therefore dismissed both on the ground of delay and on merits. However, the time granted for implementation is extended by one month from today.

(Ravi P. Verm a)
Court Master

(Anand Singh)
Court Master