

SECTION - XVI

FOR : CHAMBER JUDGE

**IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

CIVIL APPEAL NO. 3291 OF 2012

MALTI DEVI

... APPELLANT

- VERSUS -

RAMESHWAR PANDEY & ORS.

... RESPONDENTS

OFFICE REPORT ON DEFAULT

THIS IS AN APPEAL BY SPECIAL LEAVE GRANTED BY THIS HON'BLE COURT ON 28TH MARCH, 2012.

THERE ARE 13 RESPONDENTS IN THE MATTER. RESPONDENT NOS. 1, 6, 7, 12 & 13 ARE REPRESENTED BY MR. SAMIR ALI KHAN, ADVOCATE. RESPONDENT NOS. 8 TO 9 AND 10 TO 11 ARE REPRESENTED BY M/S. M.P. JHA AND R.C. KAUSHIK, ADVOCATES RESPECTIVELY. REFUSAL TREATED AS COMPLETE IN RESPECT OF RESPONDENT NO. 5 VIDE COURT'S ORDER DATED 13TH JANUARY, 2012. SERVICE IN RESPECT OF REST OF THE RESPONDENTS IS COMPLETE AT SLP STAGE, BUT NO ONE HAS CHOSEN TO ENTER APPEARANCE. SERVICE IS COMPLETE IN THE MATTER.

IT IS SUBMITTED THAT MR. SUBHRO SANYAL, COUNSEL FOR THE APPELLANT HAS ON 9TH JULY, 2013 FILED STATEMENT OF CASE IN THE MATTER, BUT COUNSEL FOR THE RESPONDENTS HAVE NOT FILED THEIR RESPECTIVE STATEMENT OF CASE IN THE MATTER, SO FAR.

ORIGINAL RECORD HAS BEEN RECEIVED FROM THE HIGH COURT.

DEFAULT OF THE COUNSEL FOR THE RESPONDENTS FOR NOT FILING STATEMENT OF CASE IS REPORTED TO HON'BLE JUDGE-IN-CHAMBER WITH THIS REPORT.

DATED THIS THE 20TH DAY OF SEPTEMBER, 2013.

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

**COPY TO:- MR. SUBHRO SANYAL, ADVOCATE
MR. SAMIR ALI KHAN, ADVOCATE
MR. M.P. JHA, ADVOCATE
MR. R.C. KAUSHIK, ADVOCATE**

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

MAYA