

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
CIVIL APPEAL NO.6905 OF 2011

s) Shanti Brata Panda .. Appellant(
Versus
(s) State of Orissa & Ors. .. Respondent

O R D E R

sel We have perused the Impugned Order which holds that the Appellant has no cause of action in his Writ Petition nor is there any infirmity in the Impugned Order dated 8th October, 2007. The learned Senior Coun sel appearing for the Appellant contends that the services of the Respondent have been discontinued by the Order dated 8th October, 2007 and it was this Order which has been assailed by the Appellant. It is in these circumstances that we are unable to appreciate the conclusion in the Impugned Order to the effect that the Appellant has no cause of action in the Writ Petition; since his services were discontinued he has sufficient cause as well as locus standi to challenge the correctness of the Order.

Signature Not Verified

Digitally signed by
Usha Rani Bhardwaj
Date: 2015.05.16
10:59:50 IST

Reason:

It is true that there is also a passing statement to the effect that no infirmity is found in the Impugned Order.

This is merely a statement which is not predicated on any reasoning. In these circumstances the Impugned Order is

2

set aside and the matter is remanded back to the High Court for a fresh consideration. It will be assumed that the Appellant has locus standi as well as sufficient

AR-CUM-PS

COURT MASTER

Signed order is placed on the file.