

È  
ITEM NO.41

COURT NO.14

SECTION XVIA

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

TRANSFER PETITION (CIVIL.) NO(s). 883 OF 2012

NAMITA Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

DEEPAK Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for stay and office report )

Date: 12/08/2013 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHANSU JYOTI MUKHOPADHAYA  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RANJAN GOGOI

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Dushyant Parashar, Adv.  
Mr. Yogesh Sharma, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

The transfer petition is allowed in terms of the signed  
order.

|(Anita Malhotra)  
|Sr.PA

|(Usha Sharma)  
|Court Master

(Signed order is placed on the file.)

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA  
CIVIL ORIGINAL JURISDICTION

TRANSFER PETITION (CIVIL) No.883 of 2012

NAMITA

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

DEEPAK

Respondent(s)

O R D E R

This transfer petition under Section 25 of the Civil Procedure Code is filed by the petitioner-wife seeking transfer of H.M.A. No.855 of 2011 titled as "Deepak vs. Namita" pending in the court of District Judge, Karkardooma Courts, Delhi to a court having competent jurisdiction at Haridwar, Uttrakhand.

Notices were issued. In spite of service of notice, nobody appeared on behalf of the sole respondent. The facts stated in the transfer petition has not been disputed.

Having regard to the fact that the petitioner is a lady residing in Haridwar, Uttrakhand will face great difficulty to attend the proceeding at Delhi and the harrassment that may cause due to such travel, we direct to transfer H.M.A. No.855 of 2011 titled as Deepak vs. Namita pending in the court of District Judge, Karkardooma Courts, Delhi to the court of District Judge, Haridwar, Uttrakhand.

The transfer petition is accordingly allowed with the aforesaid directions.

.....J.  
(Sudhansu Jyoti Mukhopadhaya)

.....J.  
(Ranjan Gogoi)

New Delhi  
August 12, 2013