

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

BEFORE THE REGISTRAR S.G. SHAH

TRANSFER PETITION (CIVIL.) NO(s). 732 OF 2010

ANURADHA ANINDYA CHAKRAVARTY

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

ANINDYA SAMIRAN CHAKRAVARTY  
(With appln(s) for stay)

Respondent(s)

Date: 26/11/2010 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

For Petitioner(s)

Ms. Shalu Sharma, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

It is unfortunate that even after repeated query,

Registry is addressing a letter to the Advocates.

It is more unfortunate to record that in the present case, though petitioner was required to comply with the order dated 22.10.2010 on or before 29.10.2010, practically it is complied only on 19.11.2010 and that too only after the letter dated 10.11.2010 by the Registry. In fact in absence of compliance of order dated 22.10.2010 before 29.10.2010, the matter was required to be listed before the Hon'ble Judge in Chambers for non-prosecution. In spite of listing the matter

Item No.81 -2-

for non-prosecution, Registry has invited the petitioner by letter dated 10.11.2010 with specific order by any court.

Registry has to explain this position to the undersigned in writing within a week with explanation, as already sought for by order dated 22.10.2010.

It is more disturbing to note that the stay application has been filed afresh even after court's order dated 9.8.2010.

In view of such situation, it would be appropriate to place the matter before the Hon'ble Court for appropriate direction.

Registry has to do so at the earliest.

(S.G. SHAH)  
REGISTRAR

rd