

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil) No(s).23101/2011

(From the judgement and order dated 12/07/2011 in
of The HIGH COURT OF H.P AT SHIMLA)

CWP No.4769/2011

RUCHI & ANR

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF H.P.& ORS.

Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for impleadment, exemption from filing c/c of the
impugned order and prayer for interim relief and office report)

Date: 26/09/2011 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R.V. RAVEENDRAN
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.K. PATNAIK

For Petitioner(s) Mr. S.S.Shamshery, Adv.
 Mr. Bhakti Vardhan, Adv.
 Dr. Kailash Chand, Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. Ashwani Kumar Dubey, Adv.
 Mr. Devesh Tuli, Adv.

 Mr. Anil Kr. Jha, Adv.
 Mr. Sharad Chandra Jha, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

Learned counsel for petitioners submits that

petitioner No.1 (Ruchi) has subsequently been
accommodated in a drop out vacancy due to shifting of
another candidate and therefore the petition has become
infructuous in so far as the first petitioner is
concerned. In view of it, the SLP is dismissed in so
far as the first petitioner. The SLP survives in so

-2-

far as the second petitioner is concerned. The
petitioner to take steps to complete the service on
respondent Nos. 1,3 and 10.

Dasti in addition.

Learned counsel for respondent No.9 submits
that he is a proforma respondent and therefore there is
no need for proceeding against her. The said statement

is recorded.

List after three weeks.

[SUMAN WADHWA]
COURT MASTER

[M.S. NEGI]
COURT MASTER