

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS
CIVIL APPEAL NO(s). 5727 OF 2008

SECRETARY TO GOVT.& ANR.

Appellant (s)

VERSUS

E.SURYANARAYANA & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(With office report)

WITH SLP(C) NO. 27289 of 2008

(With office report)

SLP(C) NO. 27290 of 2008

(With office report)

Civil Appeal NO. 32 of 2009

(With office report)

Civil Appeal NO. 5795 of 2008

(With office report)

Civil Appeal NO. 7459 of 2008

(With office report)

Date: 26/09/2012 This Appeal was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE B.S. CHAUHAN

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE FAKKIR MOHAMED IBRAHIM KALIFULLA

For Appellant(s) Mr. R. Sundaravaradan, Sr. Adv.

Mr. G.N.Reddy, Adv.

Mr. M. Rambabu, Adv.

Mr. S. Nagarajan, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr. Praveen Kumar Pandey, Adv.

Mr. P. Vijaya Kumar, Adv.

Mr. Aditya Archiya, Adv.

Mr. C.S.N. Mohan Rao, Adv.

Mr. G.V.R.Choudary, Adv.

Mr. A.Chandra Sekhar, Adv.

Mr. K. Shivraj Choudhuri, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

Learned counsel for the respondents undertake to place the following material on record :

When the respondents were appointed, their full details;

What were the service rules governing respondents' service (complete set of service rules) including part of the rules providing the promotional avenues; and

On what basis respondents claim parity with the Junior Engineer;

It is so necessary because the court below as well as the Tribunal has decided every case on consent without going into the merit which unfortunately has a chain reaction as all other employees claimed the same relief and has been liberally granted at the cost of the public exchequer. It is made clear that we want to decide the case as a Court of first

instance after examining the service record and rules.

List the matters on 3rd October, 2012.

(O.P. Sharma)
Court Master

(M.S. Negi)
Court Master