

ITEM NO.36

COURT NO.11

SECTION IVB

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil) No(s).20641/2010

(From the judgment and order dated 08.03.2010 in LPA No.327 of 2010 in WP No.6454/2009 of The HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH)

ASHOK KUMAR

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(With prayer for interim relief)

WITH SLP(C) NO. 21084 of 2010
(With prayer for interim relief and office report)
SLP(C) NO. 21093 of 2010
(With prayer for interim relief and office report)
SLP(C) NO. 21188 of 2010
(With prayer for interim relief and office report)
SLP(C) NO. 21196 of 2010
(With prayer for interim relief and office report)
SLP(C) NO. 21205 of 2010
(With prayer for interim relief and office report)

Date: 02/08/2010 These Petitions were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE G.S. SINGHVI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ASOK KUMAR GANGULY

For Petitioner(s) Mr. P.S. Patwalia, Sr. Adv.
 Mr. Jagjit Singh Chhabra, Adv.
 Mr. A.S. Rahi, Adv.
 Mr. Samar Vijay Singh, Adv.
 Mr. Vinay K. Shelandra, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

The petitioners who were convicted by the trial Court under the Prevention of Corruption Act, 1988 and were sentenced to imprisonment but succeeded in continuing in service up to 2006, are aggrieved by dismissal of the letters patent appeals filed by them against the orders of the learned Single Judge

2

who refused to interfere with the orders of dismissal passed by the competent authority.

We have heard Shri P.S. Patwalia, learned senior counsel appearing for the petitioners for some time and perused the records. In our considered view, the learned Single Judge and the Division Bench of the High Court did not commit any error whatsoever by refusing to entertain the petitioners' challenge to their dismissal from service. The view taken by the High Court is consistent with the law laid down by this Court in several cases including Deputy Director of Collegiate Education (Administration), Madras v. S. Nagoor Meera AIR 1995 SC 1364.

For the reasons stated above, the special leave petitions are dismissed.

(Satish K. Yadav)
Court Master

(Phoolan Wati Arora)
Court Master