

Ms. Ankita Mishra, Adv.
Mr. Goodwill Indeevar ,Adv

Ms. Shashi Juneja, Adv.
Ms. Saroj Raichura, Adv.
Mr. Haresh Raichura ,Adv

Mr. K.J. Kakad, Adv.
Mr. Neetin Vohra, Adv.
Ms. Minakshi Vij ,Adv

Mr. M. Srinivas R. Rao, Adv.
Mr. Abid Ali Beeran P., Adv.
Mrs. Sudha Gupta ,Adv

Mr. V.N. Raghupathy ,Adv
Ms. Seema Singh, Adv.

Mr. S. Ravi Shankar, Adv.

Mr. Arna Das, Adv.
Mr. Rameshwar Prasad Goyal, Adv.

Mr. Sanjay Kunr, Adv.
Mr. Ramesh N. Keshwani, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

In terms of the signed order, the transfer petitions are allowed and the writ petitions referred to in these transfer petitions are ordered to be transferred to this Court for being tagged and disposed of with SLP(C) No.734 of 2008.

(Ravi P. Verma) (M.S. Negi)
Court Master Court Master
[Signed order is placed on the file]
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

CIVIL ORIGINAL JURISDICTION

TRANSFER PETITION(C) NO. 945 OF 2006

UNION OF INDIA & ORS.

.....PETITIONERS

Versus

M/S. JAYANT OILS & DERIVATIVES
LTD. & ORS. ETC. ETC.

.....RESPONDENTS

WITH
T.P.(C) NO. 747 of 2007; T.P.(C) NO. 58 of 2008;
T.P.(C) NO. 249-250 of 2010; T.P.(C) No.718 of 2010;
T.P.(C) NO. 989 of 2009; T.P.(C) NO. 1165 of 2010;
T.P.(C) NO. 239 of 2011

O R D E R

These transfer petitions are filed for transferring writ petitions filed before various High Courts challenging the amendment to Section 80 HHC of the Income Tax Act, 1961. Petitioners have contended that if the matters are decided by different High Courts that may lead to divergent judgments leading to confusion and difficulties in enforcement.

2. Learned counsel for the respondents have no objection for the transfer petitions being allowed.

3. Accordingly, these transfer petitions are allowed and the writ petitions referred to in these transfer petitions are ordered to be transferred to this Court for being tagged and disposed of with SLP(C) No.734 of 2008.

.....J.
(R.V. RAVEENDRAN)

New Delhi;J.
April 4, 2011. (A.K. PATNAIK)