

ITEM NO.201

COURT NO.4

SECTION XII

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (C) No(s).23633-23634/2010

(Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 28/01/2010 in WP No. 8335/2008,28/01/2010 in WP No. 19017/2009,28/01/2010 in WP No. 8335/2008,28/01/2010 in WP No. 19017/2009 passed by the High Court Of Madras)

VELLORE DIST.ENVIRONMENT MONTNG.COMMITEE

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

DISTRICT COLLECTOR & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(with appln. (s) for permission to file additional documents and permission to place addl. documents on record and office report)  
(For final disposal)

WITH

SLP(C) No. 26608/2011

(With appln.(s) for c/delay in filing SLP and appln.(s) for c/delay in refiling SLP and Office Report)

Date : 20/02/2015 These petitions were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHANSU JYOTI MUKHOPADHAYA  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE N.V. RAMANA

For Parties

Mr. T. Mohan, Adv.  
Mr. Amit Gupta, Adv.  
Mr. Sarwa Mitter, Adv.  
Ms. Sumati Jumrani, Adv.  
M/s Mitter & Mitter Co., Adv.  
  
Mr. Raju Ramachandran, Sr. Adv.  
Mr. Shakil Ahmed Syed, Adv.  
Mr. Mohd. Moonis Abbasi, Adv.  
Mr. Parvez Dabas, Adv.  
Mr. Milan Laskar, Adv.  
Mr. Uzmi Jamil Husain, Adv.  
Ms. Mythili Vijay Kumar Thallam, Adv.

Signature Not Verified  
Digitally signed by  
Meenakshi Kohli  
Date: 2015.02.28

Mr. Vikram Aditya Narayan, Adv.

15:40:54 IST  
Reason:

Mr. Ashok Panigrahi, Adv.  
Mr. Santosh Kumar Adv.  
Ms. Ashmi Mohan, Adv.

SLP (C)Nos. 23633-23634 of 2010 etc.

2

Mr. Subramonium Prasad, AAG.  
Mr. Rakesh Dwivedi, Sr. Adv.  
Mr. B. Balaji, Adv.  
Ms. Rita Chandra Sekhar, Adv.  
Mr. R. Rakesh Sharma, Adv.  
Ms. R. Shase, Adv.  
Mr. Utkarsh Kulvi, Adv.

Mr. Shakil Ahmed Syed, Adv.

Mr. T. Mohan, Adv.  
Mr. Gautam Narayan, Adv.  
Ms. Asmita Singh, Adv.

Mr. Himanshu Gupta, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

Learned counsel for the respondent no. 2 The Tamil Nadu Pollution Control Board reported that no pollution is being caused by the leather and other industries situated nearer to Palar river in the district Vellore, Tamil Nadu. Learned counsel for the petitioner contended that there is still pollution in the river Palar. On the directions of the court, the Tamil Nadu Pollution Control Board-second respondent made inspection and submitted report with regard to stretches 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 and 6 of the river Palar. It is reported that there is no more pollution in river Palar within the stretches aforesaid at the instance of the industries. However, according to the learned counsel for the petitioner, there is still pollution in the river Palar due to which some of the persons recently get affected.

In view of the contradictory stand taken by the parties, we are of the view that the report should be obtained from Central Pollution Control Board, New Delhi. We accordingly, implead Central Pollution Control Board through its Chairman, Parivesh Bhawan, CBD-cum-Office Complex, East Arjun Nagar, New Delhi - 110032 as party respondent.

Let notice be issued on the Central Pollution Control SLP (C)Nos. 23633-23634 of 2010 etc. 3

Board returnable in four weeks. Dasti, in addition, is permitted.

On their appearance, the Court may direct them to make inspection of river Palar and the industries, municipalities and other sources discharging affluents in the river at various locations of the Vellore district and submit report, analysis along with maps. The State Pollution Control Board will cooperate the Central Pollution Control Board.

(Nidhi Ahuja)  
COURT MASTER

(Suman Jain)  
COURT MASTER