

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (C) No(s).20061-20062/2008
(Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 29/04/2008
in WP No. 16636/1995 29/04/2008 in WP No. 22274/2007 passed by the
High Court of Madras)

SIVAKASI REGION TAX PAYERS ASSN.

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF T.NADU & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(With appln.(s) for bringing on record additional documents and
directions and exemption from filing O.T. and impleadment and
modification of Court's order dated 19.10.2011 and stay of eviction
noted dated 01.10.2015 and interim relief and office report)

WITH CONMT.PET.(C) No. 197-198/2012 In SLP(C) No. 20061-20062/2008

(With appln.(s) for impleading party and Office Report)

Date : 17/10/2016 These petitions were called on for hearing today.

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MADAN B. LOKUR

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ADARSH KUMAR GOEL

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Natrajan Santharam, adv.

Mr. C. Kannan, Adv.

Mr. S.Nagarajan, AOR

For Respondent(s) Dr. Rajeev Dhawan, Sr. Adv.

Mr. M.P. Parthiban, adv.

Mr. Anish R. Shah, AOR

Mr. Subramonium Prasad, Sr. Adv.

Mr. C. Paramasivam, Adv.

Mr. B. Balaji, AOR

Mr. Muthuvel Palani, Adv.

Mr. A. Arvind, Adv.

Mr. Gautam Narayan, AOR

Mr. Shatrajit Banerji, Adv.

Mrs. Geetha Kovilan, AOR

Mr. P.R. Kovilan, Adv.

Ms. Awasthi N.K., Adv.

Mr. S. R. Setia, AOR

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

Learned counsel for the State says that according to
instructions received by him, there are 128 encroachers.
The break-up is as follows:

62 encroachers are occupying residential sites. He
says that 41 of them have agreed to vacate the premises
by 5 th

November, 2016 since they have been given
alternative accommodation. Of the remaining 21, he says
that they will also vacate by 5 th

November, 2016 even
though they have not been given alternative
accommodation.

34 encroachers are on commercial sites and it is
stated that these commercial sites have been vacated and
buildings demolished on 14 th

October, 2016.

21 encroachers are on residential-cum-commercial
sites. He says that he will get instructions in this
regard on or before the next date of hearing.

2 buildings belonging to the State Government and 2
temples are also encroachers and he says that these will
be vacated by 5 th

November, 2016.

7 sites are said to be vacant. Therefore, there is
no dispute about them.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of alleged

encroachers occupying 62 residential sites says that he

3

has not been heard in the matter and he would like to address submissions in this regard.

Learned counsel appearing for some of the alleged encroachers on residential-cum-commercial sites says that he has not been heard in the matter and would also like to address submissions.

List for hearing on 8 th November, 2016 on a non-miscellaneous day.

In the meanwhile, no coercive steps be taken to vacate the encroachers except those who have consented to vacate voluntarily.

(SANJAY KUMAR-I)
AR-CUM-PS

(JASWINDER KAUR)
COURT MASTER