

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

I N D I A

B E F O R E T H E R E G I S T R A R M K H A N J U R A

Civil Appeal No(s). 4757/2011

STATE OF M.P.& ANR.

Appellant(s)

V E R S U S

M/S PAWAN SAW MILLS & ORS.

Respondent(s)

WITH
C.A. No. 4756/2011
(With Office report)

Date : 15/09/2014 This appeal was called on for hearing today.

For Appellant(s) Mr.Vikas Bansal,adv.
Mr. B. S. Banthia,Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. K. S. Rana,Adv.
Mr. P. K. Jain,Adv.

U P O N h e a r i n g t h e c o u n s e l t h e C o u r t m a d e t h e f o l l o w i n g
O R D E R

The office report indicates that the respondent Nos. 1 & 2 have already filed the statement of case but the appellant and the respondent Nos. 3 & 4 have not file the same so far although they were notified to do so on 6.01.2012. Order XIX Rule 32 of the Supreme Court Rules,2013 provides that if the appellant does not file a statement of case within the time, as provided for in sub rule (1), it shall be presumed that the appellant has adopted the list of dates/synopsis containing chronology of events as filed at
Signature Not Verified

the time of presentation of petition
Digitally signed by
Sushma Kumari Bajaj
Date: 2014.09.17

for seeking special 1

eave
10:39:27 IST
Reason:

to appeal(SLP)/appeal, as statement of case,and does not desire to file any further statement of case.

.....2

The order further provides that if the respondent has entered appearance and does not file a statement of case within the time, as provided in Sub Rule(1) (i.e. 35 days) it shall be presumed that he does not desire to lodge the same.

In view of the rule position cited above no further opportunity for filing the statement of case is warranted to be given to the them. Viewed thus, the matter shall be processed for

listing before the Hon'ble Court under the rules.

(M K HANJURA)
Registrar

SB