

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

IA 1/ 2 0 0 8 in

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil) No(s).2 0 0 7 2 / 2 0 0 8

(From the judgement and order dated 02 / 0 5 / 2 0 0 8 in W P No. 8 8 7 6 / 2 0 0 6
of the HIGH COUR T OF M.P AT JA B A L P U R)

SHA N K A R DAT T A SHUK L A & ANR.

Petitioner(s)

VER SUS

STA T E OF M.P.& ORS.

Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for clarification and office report)

Date: 06 / 0 1 / 2 0 0 9 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON' B L E MR. JUS T I C E R.V. RA V E E N D R A N
HON' B L E MR. JUS T I C E J.M. PA N C H A L

For Petitioner(s)
for

Mr. P r a s h a n t Ku m a r , Adv.
M/s. AP& J Chamber s, Adv.

For Respondent(s)/
Applicant

Mr. Mukul Rohatgi, Sr. Adv.
Mr. S.B. Upadhyay, Sr. Adv.
Mr. P a w a n Upadhyay, Adv.
Mr. Shivma ngal Shar m a , Adv.
Ms. Anisha Upadhyay, Adv.
Ms. Shar mil a Upadhyay, Adv.

Mr. C.D. Singh, Adv.

Mr. Sunny Chowdhary, Adv.
Mr. Vair agya Vardha n Dubey, Adv.
Mr. Aditya Singh, Adv.
Ms. Upasan a Nath, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

The applicant has made an application seeking clarification
that the interim order dated 2 5. 8 . 2 0 0 8 in this case shall not come in
the way of High Court hearing and disposing of another Petition
(W. P . No. 8 4 2 7 / 2 0 0 8) filed by the petitioners.

-2 -

Our order dated 25. 8 . 2 0 0 8 is clear. It merely directs that no
further steps shall be taken on the basis of the mining lease said to
have been granted to the fourth respondent. There is nothing in the
said order coming in the way of hearing of pending writ petitions.

In the circumstances, we find no need to give any clarification
except to say that the interim order granted on 25. 8 . 2 0 0 8 shall
continue till further orders.

I.A. No.1 is disposed of.

(Ravi P. Verma)
Court Master

(Anand Singh)
Court Master