

Ü

ITEM NO.2/1

COURT NO.14

SECTION XII

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

I.A. Nos. 3/2008, 4 & 5/2013 in  
Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil) No(s).21828/2006

K.K.SENTHIL KUMAR & ORS Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF T.NADU & ORS Respondent(s)

(for intervention,impleadment)

Date: 18/02/2014 These Applications in Petition were called on for  
hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGDISH SINGH KHEHAR  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S.A. BOBDE

For Applicant(s) Ms. A Sumathi, Adv.  
in IA3/2008 Mr. G. Natarajan, Adv.  
Mr. R. Ramesh, Adv.

For Applicant(s) Mr. V. Krishnamurthy, Sr. Adv.  
in IA 4 & 5/2013 Mr. S. Gowthaman, Adv.  
Mr. K. Paari Vendhan, Adv.  
Ms. Arunima Pal, Adv.  
Mr. S. Sethu Mahendran, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

I.A. No.3 of 2008 in SLP 21828/2006

This application has been filed by one M. Muthukumar with a  
prayer to allow him to intervene in the proceedings of S.L.P.(C)  
No.21828 of 2006. We are not inclined to allow him to do so.

The application for intervention is, accordingly,  
dismissed.

I.A. Nos.4 & 5 of 2013 in SLP 21828/2006

The applicants herein filed Writ Petition Nos. 33432 and  
33433 of 2006 before the High Court of Madras. The same were disposed  
of by a common order dated 15.09.2006. Now, having come to know that  
a similar controversy, as the one raised in the aforesaid writ  
petitions, is the subject matter of consideration before this Court in  
S.L.P.(C) No.21828 of 2006, the applicants wish to be impleaded as  
petitioners therein. There is no rule of law, whereunder the  
applicants can be impleaded as petitioners in a pending special leave  
petition before this Court.

For the reasons recorded hereinabove, the applications for  
impleadment are dismissed.

| (Parveen Kr.Chawla)  
| Court Master

| | (Phoolan Wati Arora)  
| | Assistant Registrar

| |

