

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

CIVIL APPEAL NO(s). 3996 OF 2006

CHATTISGARH VIDYUT MANDAL ABHIYANTA SANG

Appellant (s)

VERSUS

CHATTISGARH STATE ELECT.REGUL.COMMN.&ANR

Respondent(s)

WITH Civil Appeal NO. 4268 of 2006
(With office report)
Civil Appeal NO. 4529 of 2006
(With office report)

Date: 14/03/2007 These Appeals were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.K. SEMA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE V.S. SIRPURKAR

For Appellant(s)

Mr. Ravi Shankar Prasad, Sr.Adv.
Ms. Suparana Srivastava, Adv.
Ms. Nidhi Minocha, Adv.
Mr. Rajesh Srivastava, Adv.

Mr. Kavin Gulati, Adv.
Mr. Nakul Dewan, Adv.
Ms. Rashmi Singh, Adv.
Mr. Avnish, Adv.

Mr. T. Mahipal, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr. Shanti Bhushan, Sr.Adv.
Mr. Jayant Bhushan, Sr.Adv.
Mr. Rahul Ray, Adv.
Mr. Avinash Menon, Adv.
Mr. Sanjeev Kumar, Adv.

for M/S. Khaitan & Co., Adv.

Mr. G. Ramakrishna Prasad

Mr. Abhijit Sengupta, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

Despite the service of notice on respondent No.1, Chhattisgarh State

Electricity Regulatory Commission, respondent No.1 is not represented by the

counsel. Considering the important question involved in these appeals, we are of the view that the appearance of respondent No.1 through counsel is absolutely essential for proper adjudication of the dispute at hand. List after four weeks.

The Registry shall serve the notice on respondent No.1 at its proper address and file the report.

ND SINGH)

COURT MASTER

COURT MASTER