

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

BEFORE THE REGISTRAR M.A. SAYEED

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil) No(s).27646-27663/2011

PARAMJIT KAUR & ORS.

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA & ANR

Respondent(s)

(With office report)

WITH SLP(C) NO. 10432 of 2013
(With office report)
SLP(C) NO. 12648-12650 of 2013
(With office report)
SLP(C) NO. 13302-13316 of 2013
(With office report)
SLP(C) NO. 13679 of 2013
(With office report)
SLP(C) NO. 24112 of 2012
(With office report)
SLP(C) NO. 24126 of 2012
(With office report)
SLP(C) NO. 24662 of 2012
(With office report)
SLP(C) NO. 28957 of 2012
(With office report)
SLP(C) NO. 29322-29323 of 2012
(With prayer for interim relief and office report)
SLP(C) NO. 29600-29602 of 2012
(With office report)
SLP(C) NO. 31805-31815 of 2012
(With office report)
SLP(C) NO. 34762 of 2012
(With office report)
SLP(C) NO. 34863 of 2012
(With office report)
SLP(C) NO. 37592 of 2012
(With office report)
SLP(C) NO. 37594 of 2012
(With office report)
SLP(C) NO. 37595 of 2012
(With office report)
SLP(C) NO. 37599 of 2012
(With office report)
SLP(C) NO. 38682 of 2012
(With office report)
SLP(C) NO. 38730 of 2012
(With office report)

-2-

Item No.26

SLP(C) NO. 525 of 2013
(With office report)
SLP(C) NO. 684 of 2013
(With office report)
SLP(C) NO. 686 of 2013
(With office report)

SLP(C) NO. 688 of 2013
(With office report)
SLP(C) NO. 689 of 2013
(With office report)
SLP(C) NO. 691 of 2013
(With office report)
SLP(C) NO. 692 of 2013
(With office report)
SLP(C) NO. 694 of 2013
(With office report)
SLP(C) NO. 697 of 2013
(With office report)
SLP(C) NO. 698 of 2013
(With office report)
SLP(C) NO. 700 of 2013
(With office report)
SLP(C) NO. 701-704 of 2013
(With office report)
SLP(C) NO. 705 of 2013
(With office report)
SLP(C) NO. 7867-7868 of 2013
(With office report)

Date: 15/07/2013 These Petitions were called on for hearing today.

For Petitioner(s)

Ms. Madhu Moolchandani, Adv.
Mr. Balbir Singh Gupta, Adv.
Mrs V.D. Khanna, Adv.
Mr. Chander Shekhar Ashri, Adv.
Mr Naveen Kumar Chauhan, Adv.
Mr. Praveen Swarup, Adv.
Dr. (Mrs.) Vipin Gupta, Adv.
Mr Vibhuti Sushant Gupta, Adv.
Mr. Mushtaq Ahmad, Adv.
Ms. Jaspreet Gogia, Adv.
Mr Harikesh Singh, Adv.
Mr. Satyendra Kumar, Adv.
Mr. Nitin Kumar Thakur, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr A Tewari, Adv.
Ms Ashmi Mohan, Adv.
Mr. Kamal Mohan Gupta, Adv.
Mr. Devashish Bharuka, Adv.

-3-

Item No.26

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

SLP(C) NO.27646-663/2011

It is pertinent to note that despite specific direction passed on the last date, the ld. Counsel for the petitioner has failed to take necessary steps against respondent No.4 till date. Matter be placed before the Hon'ble Judge in Chambers for necessary orders/directions.

Service on the remaining respondents is reported to be complete.

SLP(C) NO.684,686,688,689,691,694,697,700,701-704,705,525,
7867-68 of 2013

In these batch of matters, despite reasonable opportunities the ld. Counsel had failed to file vakalatnama as well as counter affidavit on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 to 3. All of them are ordered to proceed ex parte.

Similarly, no steps have been taken by the ld. Counsel for the

petitioner for getting the notices served on the unserved respondents. Matter be processed for listing before the Hon'ble Judge in Chambers for necessary orders/directions.

SLP(C) NO.692/2013

In these batch of matters, despite reasonable opportunities the ld. Counsel had failed to file vakalatnama as well as counter affidavit on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 to 3. All of them are ordered to proceed ex parte.

Respondent No.4 is reported to be duly served through the concerned District Judge but none appeared nor any steps taken on his behalf.

-4-

Item No.26

However, further necessary orders to be passed as and when other connected matters would become ready.

SLP(C) NO.698/2013

In these batch of matters, despite reasonable opportunities the ld. Counsel had failed to file vakalatnama as well as counter affidavit on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 to 3. All of them are ordered to proceed ex parte.

The ld. Counsel for the petitioner to furnish the fresh address of respondent No.4 within three weeks and on compliance notice be issued through the concerned District Court in addition to postal service.

SLP(C) NO.31805-815/2012

On the last date on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 to 3, the ld. Counsel had undertaken to file vakalatnama and counter affidavit. Today, the ld. Counsel has undertaken to do the needful in SLP(C) No. 31805-31811/2012. Four weeks' time is granted as final chance.

So far as remaining matters are concerned, the ld. Counsel for the petitioner to take fresh steps for getting the notices served through the standing counsel (where State authorities are concerned) and through the concerned District Court in addition to postal service (where private parties are concerned).

In SLP(C) No. 31809/2012, fresh notices be issued on the fresh addresses of respondent Nos. 4 to 9.

SLP(C) NO.34762/2012

On behalf of respondent Nos. 1 and 2, the ld. Counsel, Mr Ankit Swarup appearing on behalf of

-5-

Item No.26

Dr Monika Gusain, Advocate-on-record has accepted notice and undertaken to file vakalatnama and counter affidavit by next date. Four weeks' time is granted.

Respondent No.3 is reported to be duly served but none appeared nor any steps taken on his behalf.

SLP(C) NO.38682/2012

All the four respondents are reported to be duly served through the concerned District Court but none appeared nor any steps taken on their behalf.

However, further necessary orders would be passed as and when connected matters would become ready.

SLP(C) NO.37599/2012

No steps required to be taken in the matter.

However, further necessary orders would be passed as and when connected matters would become ready.

SLP(C) NO.38730/2012

Despite specific undertaking on behalf of the sole respondent-State, no one has put appearance on his behalf.

However, further necessary orders would be passed as and when connected matters would become ready.

SLP(C) NO.24112,24126,24662 OF 2012

The ld. Counsel appearing on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 and 2 has submitted at Bar that counter affidavit filed by respondent No.3 is being adopted by the other respondent also. No further steps required to be taken in the matter

However, further necessary orders would be passed as and when connected matters would become ready.

-6-

Item No.26

In the meanwhile, copies of the counter affidavit be served on the

other side.

SLP(C) NO.13679/2013

Respondent Nos. 1,4 and 6 are reported to be duly served through post but none appeared nor any steps taken on their behalf.

Service report in respect of respondent Nos. 2,3,5 and 7 is awaited.

SLP(C) NO.10432/2013

Service report in respect of respondent Nos. 1 and 3 to 7 is awaited.

Respondent No.2 is reported to be duly served through post but none appeared nor any steps taken on his behalf.

SLP(C) NO.13302-13316/2013

In these batch of matters there are only two respondents-State authorities(barring SLP(C) No. 13312/2013 wherein there are four respondents and SLP(C) No. 13316/2013 wherein there are six respondents).

The ld. Counsel, Mr Manjit Singh appearing on behalf of Mr Kamal Mohan Gupta, Advocate-on-record has accepted notice and undertaken to file vakalatnama and counter affidavit by next date. Four weeks' time is granted as final chance.

Notices be re issued to unserved respondent Nos. 3 and 4 in SLP(C) no. 13312/2013 and respondent Nos. 3 to 6 in SLP(C) No. 13316/2013 through the concerned District Court in addition to postal service.

-7-

Item No.26

SLP(C) NO.12648-650/2013

Respondent Nos. 1 to 4(common) be issued with fresh notices through the concerned District Court in addition to postal service.

It appears that an application for deleting some of the respondents from the array of respondents has been moved. Registry to process the said application for being placed before the Hon'ble Judge in Chambers for necessary orders/directions.

List again on 26.8.2013.

| | (M.A.SAYEED) |
| | REGISTRAR |

hj