

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

BEFORE THE REGISTRAR SUNIL THOMAS

CIVIL APPEAL NO.5273 OF 2008

HONGKONG & SHANGHAI BANKING CORP.LTD. Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

AWAZ & ORS. Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for modification,ex-Parte stay,stay and office report)

Civil Appeal NO. 5294 of 2008
(With office report)

Civil Appeal NO. 6679 of 2008
(With office report)

WITH CIVIL APPEAL NOS.5627/08 and 5278/08

Date: 12/08/2011 This Appeal was called on for hearing today.

For Appellant(s) Mr.Ateev Mathur,adv.
Mr. Arun Kumar Beriwal,Adv.
Mrs Shiel Sethi
Mr. Rajan Narain
Mr. Chanchal Kumar Ganguli
Mr.Abhishek Krishna,adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr.Ateev Mathur,adv.
Mr. Arun Kumar Beriwal,Adv.
Mrs Shiel Sethi,Adv.
Mr. H.S. Parihar ,Adv
Mr.Manish K.Bishnoi,adv.
Mrs Shiel Sethi ,Adv
Mr. Chanchal Kumar Ganguli ,Adv.
Ms.Nina Gupta,adv.
Ms.Bina Gupta,adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

contd....2

ITEM NO.11

-2-

In Civil Appeal No.6679/08 Office report shows that service is incomplete as against respondent No.4 and who is the appellant in connected Civil Appeal No.5294/08 and represented by the counsel. However, advocate Chanchal Kumar Ganguli who is the counsel for the appellant in Civil Appeal No.5294/08 submitted that vakalatnama has been filed on behalf of respondent No.4 in C.A.No.6679/08. It is seen that respondents were given time for filing statement of case in Civil Appeal Nos. 5273/08 and 5278/08. The respondents were granted 35 days time by order dated 8.07.2011. Office Report reveals that the period of 35 days has not expired. Hence, the respondents therein may file the respective statement of case within the time stipulated by order dated 8.07.2011.

The respondent in Civil Appeal No.6679/08 are granted 35 days time for filing the statement of case in that case since the appearance is recorded only now. List the matter on 13.09.2011.

(Sunil Thomas)
Registrar

SB