

S U P R E M E                      C O U R T   O F   I N D I A  
R E C O R D   O F   P R O C E E D I N G S

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil) No(s).19768/2008

(From the judgement and order dated 16/05/2008  
111/2008 of The HIGH COURT OF CALCUTTA)

in FMA No.

BANGIYA GRAMIN VIKASH BANK & ORS.

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

SAMSUL HAQUE & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(With prayer for interim relief and office report )

WITH

SLP(C) NO. 20065 of 2009

(With appln. for c/delay in filing SLP and office report)

Date: 24/09/2010                      This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AFTAB ALAM

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R.M. LODHA

For Petitioner(s)

Mr. L.N. Rao, Sr. Adv.

Mr. R. Chandrachud, Adv.

Mr. K.R. Sasiprabhu, Adv.

Mr. Pijush K. Roy, Adv.

Mr. Mithilesh Kumar Singh, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr. V. Giri, Sr. Adv.

Mr. C.K. Sasi, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

Delay condoned.

Heard Mr. L.N. Rao, senior advocate at some length.

We are satisfied that if regulations 2(f) and 2(j) are understood as means to judge the 'suitability' of the candidates for the promotional post and not their inter se 'merits', there would be no conflict between those two provisions and the provision contained in regulation 2(d), and then all the three provisions would operate harmoniously.

...2/-

-2-

We see no merit in the special leave petitions. These are, accordingly, dismissed.

(Neetu Khajuria)  
Sr. P.A.

(S.S.R. Krishna)  
Court Master