

ITEM NO.9

COURT NO.13

SECTION XI -A

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Civil Appeal Nos. 7565-7567/2011

G.P. SANGEETHA AND ORS & ETC ETC.

Appellant(s)

VERSUS

THE STATE OF KERALA & ORS. & ETC.

Respondent(s)

(and IA No.136530/2017-impleading party and IA. No. 140116/2017
impleading party to be listed)

Date : 15-01-2018 These matters were called on for hearing today.

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK GUPTA
[IN CHAMBERS]For Appellant(s) Mr. Jose Abraham, Adv.
Mr. M.P. Srivignesh, Adv.
Mr. Blessen Mathews, Adv.
Ms. Sarah Shaji, Adv.Mr. P.A. Noor Muhamed, Adv.
Ms. Giffara S., Adv.
Mr. K. Rajeev, AOR

For Respondent(s)

Mr. Harikumar V., AOR
Mr. Nishe Rajen Shonker, AOR
Mr. Romy Chacko, AORUPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

Heard learned counsel for the parties.

These applications for impleadment in the Civil Appeals
are considered.It would be pertinent to mention that the appellants in
the Civil Appeals have challenged the judgment dated 22.03.2011
passed by the Division Bench of the High Court. The petitions were
filed much earlier. The applicants have virtually not given any
explanation as to why they did not approach the High Court or this

Court earlier. They cannot claim amounts which have become time-barred. Therefore, the applications for impleadment are rejected. However, the applicants can claim benefit of any order which may be passed in favour of the original petitioners only from the date when they filed these applications. As such, they are permitted to intervene and assist the Court.

(POOJA SEHGAL)
SENIOR PERSONAL ASSISTANT

(MADHU NARULA)
COURT MASTER