

SUPREME COURT OF INDIA  
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

BEFORE THE REGISTRAR S.G. SHAH

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil) No(s).11101/2006

(From the judgement and order dated 23/06/2006 in OSA No. 57/2004 of The  
HIGH COURT OF A.P AT HYDERABAD)

VIJAYA SHREE

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

M/S GOLD STONE EXPORTS PVT. LTD. & ORS.  
(With prayer for interim relief )

Respondent(s)

WITH SLP(C) NO. 15028 of 2006  
(With prayer for interim relief and office report)  
SLP(C) NO. 15699 of 2006  
(With prayer for interim relief and office report)  
SLP(C) NO. 21308 of 2008  
(With office report)  
SLP(C) NO. 5349 of 2007  
(With office report)  
SLP(C) NO. 5350 of 2007  
(With office report)

Date: 25/11/2008 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

For Petitioner(s) Mr. T.N. Rao,Adv.  
Mr. G. Ramakrishna Prasad,Adv.  
Mr. Venkateswara Rao Anumolu,Adv.  
Mr. Sushil K. Tekriwal,Adv.  
Mr. Aribam Guneshwar Sharma,Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr.Rupesh Kumar,Adv.  
Mr. Tarachandra Sharma,Adv.  
Mrs.D. Bharathi Reddy ,Adv  
Mr. T.Raja ,Adv  
Mr. Annam D.N. Rao,Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following  
ORDER

Registry has to explain in writing to the undersigned about the statements  
made in the Office Report dated 22nd November 2008, more particularly for  
SLP(C) No. 15699/2006, wherein it is stated that

..2/-

- 2-

notices for unserved respondent is being issued through concerned Trail Court and  
compliance report is awaited from the Trail Court.

Registry has also to explain in writing about the compliance of order dated  
15th October, 2008 regarding rectifying the database, in view of the fact that their  
learned Advocate Mr. Rupesh Kumar has filed appearance in almost all the SLPs,  
and his name is not shown in the Cause List in several matters.

Registry has also to explain about the statement so far as appearance for Respondent Nos. 5 to 9 in SLP(C) No. 21308 of 2008 is concerned, in view of the fact that they are appearing through R.No.1 as power of attorney for such respondents.

Learned Advocate Mr. T.C. Sharma appearing on behalf of Mr. Rupesh Kumar confirms that they appear for 16 respondents, which are common in all the SLPs and they have filed appearance in all SLPs. He has confirmed that they are appearing for Respondent No.1 in SLP(C) No. 21308/2008 and also appearing for Respondent No. 17 in SLP(C) No. 15699/2006. Registry has to verify the Vaklatnama filed on record.

Registry has to comply with the order dated 15 th October, 2008, without fail, in any case within a week.

Compliance be reported to the undersigned in writing. Concerned Dealing Assistant has to report the compliance and the inconsistency reported in the Office Report dated 22.11.2008 to the undersigned within a week, without fail.

List the matter on 18th December, 2008.

(S.G. Shah)  
Registrar

MG