

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

CRLMP.NO. 21892-21893/2010 in  
CRIMINAL APPEAL NO(s). 1951-1952 OF 2009

UNION OF INDIA

Appellant (s)

VERSUS

ZAINAB BTE YOUSUF & ANR.ETC.

Respondent(s)

(for permission for travelling out of India)

Date: 03/12/2010 These Appeals were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MARKANDEY KATJU  
HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE GYAN SUDHA MISRA

For Appellant(s) Mr. Mohan Parasaran, ASG  
Mr. P.K.Dey, Adv.  
Mr. Rahul Kaushik, Adv.for  
Mr. B. Krishna Prasad,Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. Ayaz Khan, Adv.  
Mr. Satbir Pillania, Adv.  
Mr. Neeraj Shekhar,Adv.  
  
Ms. Asha Gopalan Nair ,Adv

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

The Appeals are dismissed in terms of the signed  
order.

In view of dismissal of appeals, no orders need be  
passed on the miscellaneous petitions.

(Parveen Kr. Chawla) (Indu Satija)  
Court Master Court Master  
[signed order is placed on the file]  
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION  
Crl.MP Nos.21892-21893 of 2010  
IN  
CRIMINAL APPEAL NOS.1951-1952/2009

Union of India

..Appellant

versus

Zainab Bte Yousuf & Another. Etc.

..Respondents

O R D E R

Today, criminal miscellaneous petition Nos.21892-21893 of 2010 were listed for consideration but instead of considering the miscellaneous petitions, we deem it appropriate to finally dispose of the appeals.

The accused were convicted by the Special Judge in N.D.P.S. Special Case No. 21 of 2001 under Sections 8(c) read with 20(b)(c), Section 8(c) read with Sections 23 read with 28, Section 8(c) read with Section 29 of the N.D.P.S. Act and sentenced to suffer rigorous imprisonment for ten years each and to pay a fine of Rs.1 lakh each with default clause. Substantive sentence imposed on the accused were ordered to run concurrently.

On Appeal, the High Court by a well reasoned judgment acquitted the accused from the charges levelled against them.

Having heard learned counsel for the appearing parties and having gone through the judgments of the courts below, we find no infirmity in the well reasoned judgment

-2-

of the High Court acquitting the accused.

The Criminal Appeals are dismissed accordingly.

In view of dismissal of appeals, no orders need be passed on the miscellaneous petitions.

.....J.  
[MARKANDEY KATJU]

NEW DELHI;  
DECEMBER 03, 2010

.....J.  
[GYAN SUDHA MISRA]